Cuora amboinensis (Riche in Daudin 1801) – Southeast Asian Box Turtle
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SUMMARY. – The Southeast Asian Box Turtle, Cuora amboinensis (Family Geoemydidae), is a small (straight carapace length to 25 cm), semi-aquatic turtle, largely restricted to standing water bodies of Southeast Asia, from eastern India to Indonesia and the Philippines. It has four currently recognized subspecies: C. a. amboinensis, C. a. couro, C. a. kamaroma, and C. a. lineata. The species is still relatively common in most of its range, perhaps due to its ability to adapt to a variety of habitats, including rice fields and the vicinity of human settlements. Diet includes both plant and animal matter. The species lays 1–4 large (24–34 x 44–57 mm) eggs with masses of 14–31.5 g. The considerable variation in egg size, incubation period, and reproductive season, as evident from data derived from captive breeding, is thought to be reflective of geographic variation in this widespread turtle species. The species is currently listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN Red List due to its heavy exploitation for the international food, pet, and medicinal trade, and is also included in CITES Appendix II. There is an apparently large illegal trade in the species, and many regional populations appear to be decreasing rapidly, requiring closer monitoring.

DISTRIBUTION. – Bangladesh, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, India, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Vietnam. Widely distributed from northeast India and Bangladesh, through most of mainland Southeast Asia to the islands of East Malaysia, Indonesia, Timor-Leste, and the Philippines.

SYNONYMY. – Testudo melanocephala Van-Ernest in Daudin 1801 (nomen oblitum), Emys melanocephala, Clemmys (Clemmys) melanocephala, Testudo amboinensis Riche in Daudin 1801, Emys amboinensis, Terrapene amboinensis, Cistuda amboinensis, Cuora amboinensis, Cistudo amboinensis, Cyclemys amboinensis, Emys (Cistudo) amboinensis leveriana Gray 1830.

SUBSPECIES. – Four currently recognized: 1) Cuora amboinensis amboinensis (East Indian Box Turtle, Wallacean Box Turtle) (distribution: Sulawesi and northeastern Indonesia and Philippines); 2) Cuora amboinensis couro (Indonesian Box Turtle) (synonymy: Emys couro Schweigger 1812, Terrapene bicolor Bell 1826) (distribution: Sumatra and southern Indonesia); 3) Cuora amboinensis kamaroma Rummler and Fritz 1991 (Malayan Box Turtle) (distribution: Kalimantan, Malaysia, and continental Southeast Asia); and 4) Cuora amboinensis lineata McCord and Philippen 1998 (Burmese Box Turtle) (distribution: northern Myanmar).


Taxonomy. – Testudo amboinensis Riche in Daudin 1801 was described from the island of “Amboine” (= Ambon, Maluku [formerly, Amboine, Moluccas], Indonesia). Daudin (1801), however, mentioned that the type was lost at sea before he saw it (see also Bour in Rummel and Fritz 1991) and copied the description of the species from a manuscript by the French naturalist Claude-Antoine-Gaspard Riche (1762–1797). Bourret (1941) mentioned that the type is in the Musée National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France, but it cannot be located there at present. Synonyms include Testudo melanocephala Van-Ernest in Daudin 1801, Emys (Cistuda) amboinensis leveriana Gray 1830, and Terrapene bicolor Bell 1826. Four subspecies have been generally recognized (see Rummel and Fritz 1991; McCord and Philippen 1998): C. a. amboinensis (Riche in Daudin 1801), C. a. couro (Schweigger 1812), C. a. kamaroma (Rummel and Fritz 1991), and C. a. lineata (McCord and Philippen 1998). However, many of the supposed diagnostic features of these subspecies overlap with each other, and genetic analyses of the various populations are required. Molecular data reveal that C. amboinensis is a member of the Cuora sensu lato group, including taxa formerly allocated to Pyxidea and Cistoclemmys (see Honda et al. 2002; Spinks and Shaffer 2007), that currently includes 12 nominal species. Key to the contents of the genus (excluding C. mouhotii and including several nomina that are at present considered hybrids) can be found in McCord and Iverson (1991). Hybrids between C. amboinensis kamaroma and Mauremys reevesii have been reported from turtle farms in China (Ye et al. 2009). Vetter and van Dijk (2006) picture six
hybrids involving *C. amboinensis*, namely *Chinemys reevesii*, *C. nigricans*, *Cathaiemys mutica*, *Malayemys subtrijuga*, *Pyxiclemys trifasciata*, and *Siebenrockiella crassicollis*.

**Description.** — Shell shape ranges from flat-topped to highly domed, depending on the subspecies. The posterior edge of the carapace is unserrated. The carapace itself is with or without sloping sides, and tricarinate in young, with keels disappearing during growth. A distinct hinge is present between the hyo- and hypoplastron; the plastron is united to the carapace by ligaments in adults. As in its congeners, closure of the anterior plastral lobe is controlled by the testoscapularis muscle (Bramble 1974).

The carapace is dark olive, brown to black, whereas the plastron is yellow, cream, or pale brown, with or without dark blotches on the marginals and on the outer edges of the plastral scutes. These plastral markings are reportedly largest in the nominate subspecies. The head is dark brown or green above, yellow below, with three yellow or orangish-yellow bands running across the sides of the head. Limbs are olive or yellowish-gray. Digits are entirely webbed.

The karyotype is 2n = 52 (Stock 1972; Killebrew 1977; Carr and Bickham 1986; Guo 1997) or 2n = 50 (Kiester and Childress in Gorman 1973).

*Cuora amboinensis* is a small turtle; the various subspecies vary slightly in size with *C. a. kamaroma* being the largest. Lim and Das (1999) recorded a carapace length (CL) of 250 mm for the Malayan subspecies, *C. a. kamaroma*. Next in size is the Burmese Box Turtle, *C. a. lineata*, with a maximum CL of 230 mm (McCord and Philippen 1998), followed by the Indonesian Box Turtle, *C. a. couro*, with a recorded maximum CL of 217.5 mm (Rummler and Fritz 1991), and smallest is the East Indian Box Turtle, *C. a. amboinensis*, with a maximum record of 200 mm CL (Schoppe 2009). The maximum weight of 1650 g at a CL of 199 mm for a female and 1950 g at a CL of 172 mm for a male Ma-

---

**Figure 1.** *Cuora amboinensis kamaroma* from Nicobar Islands, India. Photo by Indraneil Das.

**Figure 2.** *Cuora amboinensis amboinensis* amboinensis, Sulawesi, Indonesia. Photo by Sabine Schoppe.

**Figure 3.** *Cuora amboinensis couro*, Indonesia. Photos by Sabine Schoppe.
layan Box Turtle, respectively, constitute the known weight record for the species (Schoppe 2009).

Life expectancy is 25–30 years; a maximum age of 38.2 years was recorded for an animal in captivity (Bowler 1977). Generation time is ca. 18 years (Schoppe 2008, 2009). The sex ratio is 1:1 or slightly in favor of females (Schoppe 2008, 2009). Sexual dimorphism is evident at around 15 months (Schoppe 2008, 2009). Males are generally slightly smaller and lighter than females (Rummler and Fritz 1991; Schoppe 2008, 2009). Males possess a relatively longer tail and a slightly concave plastron. Males mature at a CL of 130 mm, females at 152 mm (Paull et al. 1982).
Four subspecies are currently recognized: the East Indian Box Turtle, *C. a. amboinensis* (Riche in Daudin 1801), also referred to as the Wallacean Box Turtle, the Malayan Box Turtle, *C. a. kamaroma* Rummler and Fritz 1991, the Indonesian Box Turtle, *C. a. couro* Schweigger 1812, and the Burmese Box Turtle, *C. a. lineata* McCord and Philippen 1998. Rummler and Fritz (1991) provided morphometric values such as body ratios for carapace length to body height and carapace width to body height that distinguish the first three subspecies. Accordingly, *C. a. amboinensis* is characterized by a flat, broad carapace with distinct margin; *C. a. kamaroma* is highly domed and has a narrower carapace without a well-developed margin; whereas the shell of *C. a. couro* shows intermediate characters (Rummler and Fritz 1991). They also noted subtle color differences in cephalic pattern (particularly head stripes), carapace color, extent of plastron blotches, and expression of vertebral and lateral costal keels. *Cuora a. lineata* on the other hand can be distinguished from the former three subspecies by the total lack of carapacial keels, diminished inguinal scutes, a more flared posterior plastral lobe allowing a more completely closed posterior shell opening, and a yellowish white middorsal stripe (McCord and Philippen 1998).

The two subspecies that are found in the Philippines, *C. a. amboinensis* and *C. a. kamaroma* (Gaulke 1995a; Gaulke and Fritz 1998), might in fact constitute morphologically different forms from their conspecifics in Sulawesi and Borneo (Schoppe, pers. obs.).

For the subspecies that occurs on Palawan in the Philippines (currently assigned to *C. a. kamaroma*), eggs (*n* = 87) normally range from 44–57 mm in length (mean = 50.6 ± 3.0 mm), from 24–31 mm in width (mean = 27.6 ± 1.7 mm), and from 14–24 g in weight (mean = 21.7 ± 4.7 g) (Schoppe 2010). The eggs of the other subspecies are within this range (Praedicow 1985; Grychta 1989). The smallest egg ever encountered measured 35 x 23.8 mm and weighed 11 g (Schoppe 2010).

Ewert (1979) provided data on hatchling CL: 47.3 mm for the “domed biotype” (presumably the subspecies *kamaroma* and/or *couro*) and 48.8 mm for the “depressed biotype” (the subspecies *amboinensis*). Mudde (1987) reported hatchling CL of 38–48 mm (mean 43.8 mm) and mass of 11.5–18.0 g (mean 15.8 g). Hatchlings of the Palawan subspecies (*n* = 54) measured between 39 and 49 mm in CL (mean = 43.5 ± 2.5 mm), between 27 and 52 mm in CW (mean = 34.5 ± 4.5 mm), and weighed between 11 and 22 g (mean = 15 ± 2.9 g) (Schoppe 2010). The smallest ever reported *C. amboinensis* hatchlings measured 33 mm CL, 28.9 mm CW, and weighed 7 g (Schoppe 2010).

**Distribution.** — *Cuora amboinensis* is widespread in Southeast Asia, both on the Asian mainland and on the oceanic and continental islands. Its distribution extends from northeast India through Bangladesh, Myanmar and Thailand, to Laos, Vietnam, Cambodia, the Malay Peninsula, and the Greater Sundas, to eastern Indonesia and the Philippines (Rummler and Fritz 1991; Fritz and Havas 2007).

The East Indian or Wallacean Box Turtle, *C. a. amboinensis*, occurs on the Moluccas, Sulawesi, and the Philippines (except the Sulu Archipelago) (Fritz and Havas 2007; Diesmos et al. 2008). The Malayan Box Turtle, *Cuora a. kamaroma*, occurs from northeastern India and Bangladesh through southeastern Asia to the Malay Peninsula, Nicobar Islands, Borneo, Sulu Archipelago, and perhaps Palawan Island group, Philippines (Fritz and Havas 2007; Diesmos et al. 2008). The hypothesis that Palawan populations may
represent an undescribed taxon warrants further study (Schoppe, unpubl. data). The Indonesian Box Turtle, *C. a. couro*, occurs on Sumatra, Java, Sumbawa and their smaller, satellite islands (Fritz and Havas 2007), including Timor and probably Timor-Leste (Ibarrondo in Kuchling et al. 2007). The Burmese Box Turtle, *Cuora a. lineata*, is restricted to Myanmar, and confirmed only from Kachin Province in the north (Fritz and Havas 2007).

**Habitat and Ecology. —** *Cuora amboinensis* is a habitat generalist, adaptable to anthropogenically altered habitats, including towns and villages (Moll 1997; Schoppe 2008). In general, the ecological and morphological similarity to the Nearctic *Terrapene* is remarkable (see Ernst et al. 1997), down to details of fire scars (Ives et al. 2008). The species is widely distributed in lowland freshwater habitats from sea level to about 500 m asl. Individuals may wander substantial distances over the course of a lifetime, but the species does not migrate seasonally or to any geographically significant extent. It is semi-aquatic, inhabiting various natural and man-made wetlands with soft bottoms and slow or no current (Ernst et al. 2000). Natural and human-modified habitats and their margins utilized by the species include marshes, creeks, mangrove swamps, ponds, peat swamp forests, *Melaleuca* swamps, permanent or temporary wetlands, shallow lakes, sometimes rivers, flooded rice fields, oil palm and rubber plantations that are either partly flooded or that have an extensive drainage system, as well as in irrigation ditches, canals, orchards, vegetated drainage systems, ponds and pools near houses (Das 1991; Sharma and Tisen 2000; Schoppe 2008, 2009).

The young are more aquatic than the adults that, at least in captivity, spend the night on land. A study on activity of this species and other sympatric geoemydid turtles in Sarawak, Borneo, suggests greater activity during overcast periods, and activity during major lunar phases (Jensen and Das 2008a). Alcala (1986) wrote that the turtle hides under debris along stream banks during the day, and is primarily nocturnal.

*Cuora amboinensis* is omnivorous but primarily vegetarian in diet (Rogner 1996). Nutaphand (1979) noted that aquatic plants, mollusks, and crustaceans are taken in water; while on land, the turtle feeds on land plants, fungi, and worms. It is a predator of various invertebrates (Alcala 1986), and therefore might help to stem occurrence of invertebrate-borne diseases (van Dijk 2000). On Sulawesi, the feces of two individuals contained vegetation, fruits, and seeds (Ives et al. 2008), and three individuals examined at Logun Bunut, Sarawak, contained fruits and seeds (Jensen and Das 2006). Seed dispersal has been confirmed for at least five important trees (e.g., fig trees *Ficus* spp., Indian mulberry *Morinda citrifolia*; Peter Widmann, pers. comm.). The Malay box turtle is able to feed on land as well as in water. During terrestrial feeding, the initial food prehension is by the jaws, while intraoral food transport and pharyngeal packing actions are tongue-based, and during aquatic feeding, prey is captured by a fast forward head strike (Natchev et al. 2009).

Mating takes place between November–April, and 1–2 eggs were produced in late February and mid-April (Praedicow 1985). Schoppe (pers. obs.) observed mating year around in the Philippines. Grychta (1989) found that smaller females are preferred by males. Paull et al. (1982) observed courtship and copulation in captivity. The male extends the head, first to one side of the female’s head, then to the other, about a second a side. In turn, the female extends her head with the mouth open and bobs it slightly in a vertical plane. Within five minutes of these activities, the two then stroke each other’s chins for about half a minute, and copulation takes place soon thereafter. Inskeep’s (1984a, 1984b) males were aggressive when courting, circling the female a few times before trying to copulate, and biting the female on the neck if she tried to resist. Mudde (1987) also found males to be aggressive to one another. The most detailed observations on courtship and mating in the species have been those of Praedicow (1985). The male approaches the female from the front, with fully extended neck, the mouth opening and closing in succession, and scratches the sides of the female’s head rhythmically 15–20 times. When the female tries to bite the male’s head, mounting takes place, with the male attempting to bite the female on the head or neck. Courtship and mating at the Melbourne Zoo occurred from August to June, usually in 10–20 day periods, and eggs were laid in September (Chris Banks, pers. comm.). One or two eggs were laid at a time, measuring 48–57 mm (mean 52) x 27–31 mm (mean 29), with masses of 14.7–31.5 g (mean 23.1). At 30.5–31°C, eggs hatched in 47 days, while at 27.5–28.5°C, the incubation period lasted for 78 days.

The species produces small clutches (Schoppe 2008, 2009). A mean of three clutches with two eggs each resulting in a total of six eggs per female per year are laid in captivity by the Palawan population (Schoppe 2008, 2009). A clutch size of five is also known (Rogner 1996). Eggs are laid from November to August (Schoppe, pers. obs.). At 23–32°C and 60–90% humidity, the incubation period was 76–77 days (Praedicow 1985). The hatchlings emerged at the end of June, presumably the high water period in their natural range. Between 28–29°C, the same author obtained hatchlings after 74 to 78 days. Following an oxytocin injection, Grychta’s (1989) female laid a single egg in early June and one at the end of November, measuring 55 x 25 mm and 50 x 25 mm, respectively. The latter hatched at the beginning of February, after an incubation period of 68 days, at 27–29°C and nearly 100% humidity. Whitaker and Andrews (1997) noted an incubation period of 67–77 days in the wild and 76–77 days in captivity. At 25–30°C, Lim and Das (1999) recorded incubation periods of 70–100 days. Schoppe (pers. obs.) observed a range of 60–120 incubation days (n = 22, mean 88.8 ± 12.5) in captivity under outdoor conditions (26–30°C) for the Palawan population, and assumes that a prolonged incubation is related to unsuitable weather conditions. Peak hatching is between May and August during the Palawan rainy season (Schoppe, pers. obs.). Hatching success is about 50% in captivity under outdoor conditions.
Eggs are elongate, brittle, and hard-shelled. Inskeep (1984a, 1984b) found eggs in captivity in the months of April, May, and June. These eggs were all laid during the dry to the beginning of the wet period in the natural range of the species. Bhaskar (1981) found a clutch of two eggs at the Bay of Bengal on Trinkat Island, Great Nicobar, in January, one of which measured 25 x 50 mm. Saxena (1994) presented data from captive reproduction, based on a female from Nicobar Islands. Three single eggs were produced at intervals of 36 and 39 days, eggs measuring 51.7 x 29.6 to 49.6 x 28.4 mm. One egg that produced a hatching after 86 days was incubated at 28.5–28.8°C.

The animals observed by Paull et al. (1982) produced clutches comprising 1–4 (typically 2 or 3) eggs, measuring 40–46 x 30–34 mm. Three to five eggs are laid by animals from Thailand (Nutaphand 1979). Mudde (1987) found differences in the dimensions of fertile and infertile eggs, the first measuring 52 x 26 mm, while eggs measuring 45 x 30 mm were infertile. His animals produced 1–2 eggs per clutch on the surface or in nests 5 cm deep, during the months of June, July, September, and November, apparently within the Southwest Monsoons in Southeast Asia. One egg took 79 days to hatch at 26°C, two took 94 and 100 days at 28°C, and two took 66 and 91 days at 30°C. The considerable variability in clutch size, egg size, and egg-laying season in these data, differences in the dimensions of fertile and infertile eggs, the first measuring 52 x 26 mm, while eggs measuring 45 x 30 mm were infertile. His animals produced 1–2 eggs per clutch on the surface or in nests 5 cm deep, during the months of June, July, September, and November, apparently within the Southwest Monsoons in Southeast Asia. One egg took 79 days to hatch at 26°C, two took 94 and 100 days at 28°C, and two took 66 and 91 days at 30°C. The considerable variability in clutch size, egg size, and egg-laying season in these data, derived mostly from captives of unknown origin, may be suggestive of geographic variation in reproduction.

Omar (1985) observed a mean growth increment of 0.19 mm per day for two hatchlings during the first six months after hatching in captivity. Studies on captive individuals from Palawan revealed a similar growth rate of 0.24 mm/day (Schoppe and Dolorosa, unpubl. data). Subadult individuals from Palawan revealed a similar growth rate of 0.06 mm/day for two hatchlings during the first six months in captivity. Studies on captive individuals from Palawan revealed a similar growth rate of 0.24 mm/day (Schoppe and Dolorosa, unpubl. data). Subadult animals up to 24 months of age, a mean growth rate of 0.06 mm/day was calculated, and for adults a mean growth rate of 0.038 mm/day was calculated, implying that the adult size of 160 mm CL is reached in four years and five months in captivity (Schoppe and Dolorosa, unpubl. data). Praedicow (1985) observed sexual maturity of females and males at 5–6 and 8–9 years, respectively. Moll observed a captive Malayan Box Turtle male that appeared to be mature in its fifth year (Edward O. Moll, pers. comm.). Schoppe (pers. obs.) presumes that it takes approx. 4.5–5 years to attain maturity in captivity and at least one more year to reach that stage in the wild.

Eggs and a significant proportion of hatchlings are an important source of food for monitor lizards, crocodiles, herons, and other wetland/riverine birds, and small mammalian predators, such as civets (Moll and Moll 2004). Stunner (2010) observed predation by the water monitor lizard, Varanus salvator, in Bangkok, Thailand.


**Population Status.** — The species is perhaps an appropriate example of a taxon that has demonstrated a rapid global decline in a short period of time. In 1994, C. amboinensis was unlisted in the IUCN Red List (implying a Least Concern status). Two years later, its status was categorized as Lower Risk: Near Threatened (Baillie and Grobbidge 1996). During the next assessment (Hilton-Taylor 2000), the status was Vulnerable (VU) A1d+2d. Its status has not been formally re-assessed since 2000 (Anonymous 2004) and most country information needs updating. However, a preliminary assessment carried out in early 2011 indicated that the species appears to warrant a status category of Vulnerable, and is not yet formally being considered Endangered (P.P. van Dijk and A. Rhodin, unpubl. data).

In the 1970s, the species was described as numerous in Thailand (Nutaphand 1979), but by the 1990s, population declines were reported (Thirakhupt and van Dijk 1994), and the species is currently considered Vulnerable in the country (IUCN 2009). It is seriously threatened in Vietnam and “few if any viable populations are likely to exist in Vietnam” (Hendrie 2000). Rashid and Khan (2000) report it as an uncommon species in Bangladesh. It has been recorded from southeastern Bangladesh, including Cox’s Bazar and Kaptai Lake in Chittagong (Kabir et al. 2009) and northeastern Bangladesh, including Salutikar
in Sylhet (Frazier and Das 1994). Currently, this species is not included in the Bangladesh Wildlife (Preservation) (Amendment) Act, 1974 (Kabir et al. 2009). In southwest Cambodia, the species was found to be fairly abundant in several localities (David Emmett, pers. comm.), while it is one of the two most threatened turtle species in Laos and described as “valuable and nearly extinct” (Salter 1993). Based on the 2000 IUCN assessment the species is considered Endangered in Bangladesh, Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam (IUCN 2009). McCord and Philippin (1998) suspected significant over-exploitation of the Myanmar populations due to trade to China. The population is Singapore is small but presumably stable (IUCN 2009).

Threats to Survival. — Large numbers of animals are caught for: 1) local consumption; 2) export mainly to Europe and North America for the pet trade; or 3) export to China for food and Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) (Holloway 2003). All specimens for domestic and international use are wild-caught. They are extracted year-around, disregarding size, but larger (adult) individuals are preferred for consumption and TCM trade, and smaller ones (CL 100–150 mm) for the pet trade. *Cuora amboinensis* was among the 10 most heavily traded chelonians during 1998–99 (Lau et al. 2000) and remains as such in Malaysia and Indonesia (Jensen and Das 2008; Schoppe 2008, 2009). Generally, collection for international trade for consumption and TCM are the major threats that have been identified (van Dijk et al. 2000; Ades et al. 2000; Anonymous 2002; UNEP-WCMC CITES Trade Database 2008).

Collection occurs over the entire species’ range, and disregard designated collection areas and quotas in Indonesia and Malaysia (Schoppe 2008, 2009). Populations in national protected areas are exploited to a lesser extent, but since law enforcement is weak, exploitation also occurs there (Schoppe 2009). Altherr and Freyer (2000) stated that *C. amboinensis* is among the most commonly imported pet turtles. Tens of thousands were imported to Europe in the 1990s alone (Altherr and Freyer 2000). Recent studies confirmed this but identified illegal trade for the food and Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) trade to China as the main threat (Schoppe 2009). Chen et al. (2000) reported that the Malayan Box Turtle, along with *Malayemys subtrijuga* (possibly including *M. macrocephala*) and *Siebenrockiella crassicollis*, were the commonest turtles in the trade in TCM in Taiwan, accounting for over 75% of the trade, while in mainland China, along with *Mauremys reevesii*, *C. amboinensis* accounted for up to 49% of the total turtle export (Zhou and Jiang 2008). Indonesia is the main supplier of the species for the international meat, TCM, and pet markets. A conservative estimate is that illegal trade amounts to 10 times the volume of legal trade (Schoppe 2009), and this covers live specimens and the shell trade.

From Peninsular Malaysia, Sharma (1999) reported that *C. amboinensis* is the most commonly sold species in the pet trade, and that they are also released in Chinese...
temple tanks. Levels of harvest and illegal trade in Malaysia demonstrate that immediate action is needed to regulate exploitation for the future sustainable management of the species (Schoppe 2008). In TCM, the heads and shells are used as a tonic for women after childbirth. In Malaysia, the main users are indigenous groups, Thai communities along the Malaysian-Thai border, and ethnic Chinese (Schoppe 2008). Turtles illegally exported from Malaysia may pass through several countries (Thailand, Myanmar, Laos) but there are only few main final destinations for turtles traded for consumption from Malaysia: China, Hong Kong, and to lesser extent to Singapore (Schoppe 2008). Exploitation in Thailand remains at modest levels, and most collections are for release in temple tanks (van Dijk and Thirakhu 2000).

Over 10 years ago, when the Burmese subspecies was locally numerous, McCord and Philippen (1998) already assumed a collapse of the populations due to low reproduction and continuous exploitation. This might also be true for the Philippines where the species remains locally common (Ferner et al. 2001). Alcala (1986) mentioned that in the Visayas region of the Philippines, the turtle is caught for its flesh, and is even raised in captivity. Collection for food, traditional medicine, and for the pet trade seem to be the main reasons for population declines all over the Philippines (Alviola et al. 2003; Gavino and Schoppe 2004; Diesmos et al. 2008). The species is commonly offered for sale in pet shops of the large cities such as Manila, Cebu, and Davao (Diesmos et al. 2008). It remains to be assessed if the intensive international trade mainly handled by Chinese and Taiwanese traders until the early 1990s still continues after the implementation of the Philippine Wildlife Act.

Capture techniques vary: in northeastern India and Bangladesh, the turtle is occasionally caught in gill nets set for fish. The relatively terrestrial adults may be brought out of hiding by setting fires to grass during the dry season, a practice followed in other parts of Asia. In Malaysia and Indonesia, animals are either hand captured or collected with baited traps during darkness (Schoppe 2008, 2009).

Aside from over-exploitation, habitat destruction and pollution impact populations of *Cuora amboinensis*. In Malaysia, over-exploitation and pollution of waterways were identified as threats by Lim and Das (1999), as well as the continuous clearing of *Melaleuca* swamps on the east coast to give way for coastal development projects (Sharma and Tisen 2000).

**Conservation Measures Taken.** — *Cuora amboinensis* and all other members of the genus *Cuora* were added to Appendix II of CITES in 2000 (CITES 2009). The IUCN Red List has listed the species as Vulnerable since 2000 (IUCN 2011).

This species exists in some of the wetland sanctuaries in eastern India (Manas Tiger Reserve, Kaziranga National Park, Orang Wildlife Sanctuary, and D’Ering Wildlife Sanctuary, all within Assam State, and the Galathea National Park, Nicobar Islands) and protected areas elsewhere in the range of the species in India (Das 1995). In Myanmar, it is protected under the Myanmar Wildlife Law (1994), as well as under Myanmar Fisheries Law (1993) (see Maung and Ko Ko 2002). In Thailand, this species is unprotected, but occurs in several protected areas, including the Khao-Lal-Lamru National Park (Chan-Ard et al. 1999) and Doi-Suthep-Pui National Park (Wongsawad et al. 1998). In Vietnam, this species is listed as Vulnerable in the Vietnam Red Data Book (Tran et al. 2007) and recorded from the U Minh Thuong National Park (Stuart 2004). In Cambodia, the species occurs in the Tonle Sap Biosphere Reserve (Platt et al. 2008).

In Peninsular Malaysia, the species is not protected and collection for local use is unregulated. It occurs in the Penang National Park (Ibrahim et al. 2008), and presumably in other protected areas. In Sabah and Sarawak, collection for local use requires permits. The international trade was quota-regulated from 2000–04; a harvest ban for international trade was imposed in 2004 and an export ban was declared in 2005 (Schoppe 2008). When the Malaysian CITES Management Authority suspended harvest for export in 2004, it urged traders to set up breeding operations and to replace wild-caught supply (Anonymous 2004). However, this is not practiced (Schoppe 2008). It has been recorded from the Loa Ban Bunut National Park (Das and Jensen 2006) and Gunung Mulu National Park (Das et al. 2008), both in Sarawak, East Malaysia. In Singapore’s Central Nature Reserves, both feral and local populations are reported (Ng and Lim 2010), and the species has been recorded from Nee Soon National Park (Das, pers. obs.).

The Malayan Box Turtle occurs in the Tasek Merimbun Heritage Park in Brunei Darussalam (Das et al. 2008), but is unprotected under Brunei wildlife laws. The species is not protected under Indonesian wildlife laws, but is known from several protected areas. At least some 12 national protected areas include extensive wetland areas (Sriyanto et al. 2003), e.g., Taman National Rawo Aopa Watomohai (Schoppe 2009) and the Lore Lindu National Park (Ives et al. 2008), both in Sulawesi, and Danau Sentarum National Park in Kalimantan (Walter 2000). The United Nations (1997), however, stressed that these protected areas do not assure biodiversity conservation because most of them have inadequate human resources for management and regulation of enforcement. Harvest and export from Indonesia became regulated with the listing of the species in CITES Appendix II in the year 2000. As in Malaysia, the species was recommended for large-scale captive breeding for consumption trade by the Indonesian CITES Management Authority, but this was neither implemented nor developed further. Captive breeding of the Southeast Asian Box Turtle for commercial profit is at present not economically feasible in a country of export, where captive-bred animals incur high air freight rates (Schoppe 2008).

In the Philippines the species is covered under the Philippine Wildlife Act (RA 9147), a law that prohibits collection and trade of wildlife without a permit. The Philippine CITES Management Authority has banned the export of wild-caught
individuals since no non-detriment-finding studies—a requirement for CITES Appendix II listing species—have been conducted so far. Despite the country’s extensive network of protected areas, only a very few cover freshwater wetlands. Insufficient human resources and funds for patrolling further weaken this potential conservation measure.

Conservation Measures Proposed. — Monitoring trade levels, especially the huge illegal trade, should be instituted in many areas, and improved control of the numbers of turtles in international trade may need to be recommended. All exporting countries should conduct status surveys to assess whether the export of animals is negatively affecting the survival of the species in the wild (non-detriment-findings), as required by CITES for species listed on Appendix II. A careful investigation of the dynamics of the illegal trade and exploitation of this and other freshwater turtle species is also critical for conservation and management purposes.

Population size studies to determine trends over time should be conducted range-wide and in different habitat types. In the absence of quantitative data on local populations, criteria that might indicate changes in local abundance should be assessed and monitored. Relatively little is known about the biology and ecology of the species; it is recommended that baseline research on the reproduction, home range, and population densities of wild populations be conducted. Identifying habitat preferences of the species is needed for proper management, which may lead to recommendations for the protection of certain habitat types in selected areas.

The effectiveness and implementation of national legislation pertaining to freshwater turtle conservation should be assessed. Improved law enforcement to eradicate illegal trade for the food and TCM should be a priority conservation measure. Information education campaigns to raise awareness on the conservation status, biology, ecology, threats and legal status should be conducted. Trade in the species from at least two protected areas—U Minh Thuong National Park in Vietnam (Stuart 2004) and the Tonle Sap Biosphere Reserve in Cambodia (Platt et al. 2008)—has been reported.

At this point we recommend prioritizing the protection of the species in its natural habitat rather than ex-situ measures. We do, however, support the maintenance of some “assurance colonies” comprising individuals that are presently held in captivity by private wildlife collectors and government-confiscated animals from the illegal trade but of known provenance. The feasibility of captive breeding for the pet trade should be assessed.

Because it is possible that C. amboinensis as currently recognized may be a species complex, a thorough molecular phylogeographic study of this taxon is desperately needed if we are to protect its diversity. The resulting gene tree could also be used as a forensic tool to identify the origins of confiscated specimens and animals in the trade.

Captive Husbandry. — Most data on the biology of the species derive from small-scale ex-situ captive breeding (e.g., Kerlen 2002, 2004, 2005; Struijk and Woldring 2005). Hematological studies on the species, relevant to captive husbandry, were conducted by Perpiñán et al. (2008). There are some indications that successful breeding at small but commercial scale is taking place in some facilities in southern China (P.P. van Dijk, pers. comm.). In captivity, eggs are normally deposited 35–50 days after mating (Highfield 1996). Ten females kept isolated from males continued laying fertile eggs in the first year after being separated (Schoppe, pers. obs.).

Mudde (1987) found the species to be resistant to changes in temperatures, its animals accepting mosquito larvae, but not much plant matter, except water plants such as Lemna spp. and Cryptocoryne spp. Frogs, grated coconuts, and succulent leaves are eaten by the Southeast Asian box turtle in captivity, according to Alcala (1986), the diet of the species in captivity, in general, being omnivorous. Most feeding takes place in water, with food given on land usually dragged underwater. Praedicow (1985) noticed that males fed throughout the year, with food given on land usually offered once. females almost stopped feeding two months prior to egg-laying. For the Palawan population, this cannot be confirmed, and both sexes are voracious eaters, year around (Schoppe, pers. obs.).

Current Research. — Schoppe (2008, 2009) conducted studies to estimate population sizes in Indonesia and Malaysia in recent years, and similar studies in the Philippines are being planned. She is also studying reproduction and growth in the Palawan population. The environmental NGO, Katala Foundation Inc., has signed a memorandum of agreement with the local authorities in the Philippines for the conservation of this and other freshwater turtles in the Philippines. Current activities focus on information education campaigns and the monitoring of illegal trade of Cuora amboinensis and other wildlife in Palawan.
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