

Bonn zoological Bulletin	Volume 57	Issue 2	pp. 149–171	Bonn, November 2010
--------------------------	-----------	---------	-------------	---------------------

The distinction between family-series and class-series nomina in zoological nomenclature, with emphasis on the nomina created by Batsch (1788, 1789) and on the higher nomenclature of turtles

Alain Dubois & Roger Bour

Reptiles & Amphibiens, UMR 7205 OSEB, Département de Systématique & Evolution,
Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CP 30, 25 rue Cuvier, F-75005 Paris, France;
E-mails: sapo421@gmail.com, bour@mnhn.fr

Abstract. The *Code* only regulates the scientific names or *nomina* of zoological taxa from the rank subspecies to the rank superfamily, but not those of taxa at ranks above the latter (class-series nomina). It is shown here that its current Rules are somewhat ambiguous regarding the availability of family-series nomina and the distinction between the latter and class-series nomina, and it is again suggested that it should be improved in this respect. It should cover the whole nomenclatural hierarchy in zoology, which requires to expand it in order to incorporate Rules for the nomenclature of higher taxa. A detailed analysis is devoted to the poorly known work of Batsch (1788, 1789), and it is shown that 17 family-series nomina, 16 of which have remained unnoticed until now, are available and should replace the homonymous junior nomina currently considered valid in zootaxonomy. Particular attention is given to the higher nomenclature of turtles, and it is shown that the nomen *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788 is a family-series, not a class-series nomen. This nomen is therefore the valid one, as *TESTUDINIDAE* Batsch, 1788, of the family including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758, but cannot apply to the order of turtles, tortoises and terrapins.

Key words. Zoological nomenclature, *Code*, availability, class, family, Batsch, turtles, *TESTUDINES*, *TESTUDINIDAE*.

ABBREVIATIONS AND PRINTING CONVENTIONS

In this paper, “ICZN” designates the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature and “the *Code*” the edition currently in force of the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature* (Anonymous 1999). For reasons explained in details elsewhere (Dubois 2000, 2006a), some terms of the *Code* are here substituted by other terms, as follows (in the order of their first appearance in the text, indicated there by an asterisk*): *nomen* (plural *nomina*) for “scientific name” (Dubois 2000); *nominal-series* for “groups of names” (Dubois 2000), with four such series (“groups”) being discussed here: the *species-*, *genus-*, *family-* and *class-series* (Dubois 2000); *anoplonym* for a “nomenclaturally unavailable name” (Dubois 2000); *sozonym* for a nomen that has had a universal or significant use in non-systematic literature after 31 December 1899 (Dubois 2005a–b); *distagmonym* for a nomen that has not had such a use (Dubois 2005a–b); *onomatophore* for “type” or “name-bearing type” (Simpson 1940); *nucleogenus* (plural *nucleogenera*) for “type genus” (Dubois 2005b); *nucleospecies* for “type species” (Dubois 2005b);

monophory for “monotypy” (Dubois 2005b); *neonym* for “new replacement name” or “*nomen novum*” (Dubois 2000); *autoneonym* for a neonym being an “unjustified emendation” (Dubois 2000); *archaeonym* for the nomen replaced by a neonym (Dubois 2005a); *hyponymous* for “nominotypical” (Dubois 2006c). The nomina belonging to the species-series and genus-series are printed, as usual, in lower case *italics*, whereas nomina of higher-ranked taxa are printed in small capitals, with the following distinction: family-series nomina are in *ITALICS*, whereas class-series nomina are in **BOLD**. Anoplonyms are printed “between quotation marks”.

FAMILY-SERIES AND CLASS-SERIES NOMENCLATURE IN ZOOLOGY

The *Code* regulates the *nomina** of zoological taxa from the rank subspecies to the rank superfamily, but not those of taxa at ranks above the latter. Therefore the use and al-

location of nomina of taxa referred to the higher ranks of zoological nomenclature (order, class, phylum, etc.) are left to the freedom and opinions of individual zoologists, as no Rules exist in the *Code* for their availability, allocation to taxa and validity, the three basic steps of the nomenclatural process (Dubois 2005a–c, 2006a).

The nomina covered by the *Code* are distributed in three *nominal-series**: the *species-**, *genus-** and *family-series**. Any nomen, to be recognized as nomenclaturally available, must first be explicitly or implicitly referred to one of these nominal-series. No difficulty usually arises regarding the allocation of nomina to the species- and genus-series, but, in some cases, problems may be encountered to know whether a given nomen belongs in the family-series, and thus is governed by the nomenclatural Rules of the *Code*, or to a rank above the family-series, therefore in the *class-series** and thus is outside the Rules of the *Code*.

The *Code* is not fully clear regarding the conditions of availability of family-series nomina. Only two conditions are clear for all nomina, concerning the stem of the nomen and the reference to a suprageneric taxon. Article 11.7.1.1 states that, to be available in its original publication, a family-series nomen must “*be a noun in the nominative plural formed from the stem of an available generic name*”, which is then its *nucleogenus** (type-genus). Therefore, any higher taxon nomen *not* based on an available generic nomen is unavailable for a family-series nomen, but may under certain conditions be available for a class-series nomen. Article 11.7.1.2 adds that the new nomen must “*be clearly used as a scientific name to denote a supra-generic taxon and not merely as a plural noun or adjective referring to the members of a genus*”. Therefore, the explicit use of the rank family, or of another traditional rank of the family-series (subfamily, superfamily, tribe, subtribe, etc.), is not required for availability of nomina in the family-series. Two additional clear conditions, applying only to nomina published after 1999, are given in Articles 16.1 (the nomen “*must be explicitly indicated as intentionally new*”) and 16.2 (the nomen “*must be accompanied by citation of the name of the type genus*”). According to Article 11.7.1.1, before 2000, the type-genus may be indicated “*either by express reference to the generic name or by reference to its stem*”, i.e., by *implicit etymological designation* (Dubois 1984).

Three conditions are unclear in the current *Code* regarding the status of new family-series nomina: (C1) the date; (C2) the requirement for validity of the nomen of the nucleogenus; and (C3) the distinction between family-series and class-series nomina.

(C1) No starting date is given in the *Code* for the use of family-series nomina in zoological nomenclature. However, the rank family and related ones (superfamily, subfamily, tribe, subtribe, etc.) were not recognized by Linnaeus (1758, 1761, 1764, 1766, 1767), although this author made use of no less than seven ranks above the rank genus (Dubois 2007). Some authors of the 18th century used the ranks family and tribe, but not always for taxa above the rank genus and below the rank order, with family as a rank above tribe (Dubois 2006a). For example, some authors (e.g., De Geer 1778; Goeze & Donndorff 1797) used family as a rank below the rank genus, whereas others, including some quite recently, used tribe as a rank above the rank order (e.g., Scopoli 1777; de Blainville 1816; Huene 1952) or below the rank order but above the rank family (e.g., Oken 1821, 1833; Fitzinger 1826, 1843; Swainson 1835; Hogg 1841; Bonaparte 1845; de Blainville 1847; Stannius 1856). In zoological taxonomy, the first authors that are traditionally credited with the creation of family-series nomina for taxa above the rank genus are authors who published their works in the early 19th century: e.g., Lamarck (1801), Latreille (1802, 1824, 1825), Opperl (1811a–b), Rafinesque-Schmaltz (1814a–d), Rafinesque (1815), Vieillot (1816), Fischer (1817), Goldfuss (1820), Gray (1825) or Vigers (1825). However, a few authors in the second half of the 18th century already used the rank family for taxa at ranks between genus and order. This is the case of Batsch (1788, 1789), in a rather poorly known work discussed in detail below. Inasmuch as the familial nomina created by these authors were clearly based on the stems of available generic nomina considered valid by these authors, there is no reason for not crediting these authors with the creation of these familial nomina, even if this was ignored by most subsequent authors until now (Dubois 2010: 25).

(C2) Regarding the requirement for validity of the generic nomen used as stem (nucleogenus), Article 11.7.1.1 states that “*the generic name must be a name then used as valid in the new family-group taxon [Arts. 63, 64] (use of the stem alone in forming the name is accepted as evidence that the author used the generic name as valid in the new family-group taxon unless there is evidence to the contrary)*”. There are several questions with this unclear formulation. First, what does “*then*” mean in this context? This word would have a clear sense only if it meant “*in the work where the new family-series nomen is created*”, but then why not write it in full words? If it meant “*at the period of this work*”, this would be difficult to apply, because it is unclear how long the period to be considered should be (preferably it seems that it should not include more than ten or 20 years around the creation of the new family-series nomen), and second because at any given pe-

riod of taxonomy the same nomen may be accepted as valid by part of the authors then active, and invalid by others, as will be illustrated below with the example of the nomina *Lacerta* Linnaeus, 1758 and *LACERTAE* Batsch, 1788. Furthermore, the words “used as valid in the new family-group taxon” show that this condition cannot apply to works published before the creation of the latter taxon! Therefore, this part of Article 11.7.1.1 would be made clearer by choosing between the two following formulations: (F1) “the generic nomen must be used as valid in the new family-group taxon in the work where its nomen is created”; (F2) “the generic nomen must be used as valid by all active taxonomists in the 10 years before and after creation of the new family-group nomen” (or another span). Until this choice is made by the ICZN, this Article is not fully operational, as will be exemplified below. The French version of Article 11.7.1.1 in the current *Code* is not strictly equivalent to its English version, which is problematic as these two texts are deemed to be “equivalent in force and meaning” (Anonymous 1999: xiii). As a matter of fact, the French version of this Article ignores the term “then” (“alors”). In the previous edition of the *Code* (Anonymous 1985: 25), Article 11(f)(i)(1) wrote “then used as valid for a genus contained in that family-group taxon”. These elements suggest that formulation (F1) above corresponds to the real meaning of this article, and we follow this interpretation below.

(C3) Regarding the distinction between family-series and class-series nomina, it is unambiguous in the *Code* only in the case of suprageneric nomina that are *not* based on available generic nomina, which are unavailable in the family-series, but may be available in the class-series, at least in some cases (see below). But what is the status of nomina based on the stem of available generic nomina created for taxa at ranks clearly above the family-series (order, class, etc.), or for taxa of unusual ranks, not clearly referable to the family- or class-series (such as phalanx, cohort, gens, etc.), or for taxa of unspecified ranks? The *Code* does not provide any clue for decision in such cases, all the more that, as reminded above, the explicit use of the rank family, or of another rank of the family-series, is not required for availability of nomina in the family-series. A few clear situations exist: (1) when a nomen is created for a taxon that is explicitly originally referred to a rank higher than superfamily, or than order, class or another rank traditionally referred for the class-series in zoology, whatever this rank is, such a nomen belongs in the class-series; (2) in contrast, when a nomen is created for a suprageneric taxon of rank lower than superfamily or than any other traditional rank in the family-series (family, subfamily, tribe, etc.), and is based on the stem of a nucleogenus, it belongs in the family-series. But whenever a nomen is proposed for a taxon of any rank above the rank genus, and without clear hierarchical relationships

with other taxa of ranks unambiguously referable either to the family- or to the class-series, it may be treated either as a class-series nomen (this is the case for example of all suprageneric nomina created by Linnaeus: see Dubois 2007) or as a family-series nomen. In such cases, the etymology of the nomen may be a help for the decision: if the nomen is based on the stem of an available generic nomen, it may be treated as a family-series nomen, otherwise as a class-series nomen.

Another matter ignored by the *Code* is what could be called the *consistency problem*. In some publications of the 18th, 19th and even 20th centuries, some authors were not consistent regarding the mode of formation of their new familial nomina: some were based on the stem of available generic nomina, whereas others were not, being descriptive or geographical terms, terms based on the names of persons, etc. In such cases, the nomina of the first category could be accepted as available both as family-series and class-series nomina, but those of the second category can be considered available only in the class-series. However, a choice has to be made between these two nominal-series for *all* the nomina created together with the same rank, as it is not logical and conceivable to admit that the same author, in the same publication, created both family-series and class-series nomina for taxa of same rank (for details, see Dubois 2008b). Dubois (2006a: 178) proposed that, in such cases, for reasons of *consistency* in the taxonomic hierarchy, all these nomina be referred to the family-series, but that those which are incorrectly formed (not being based on available generic nomina, or formed through addition of a complex suffix unacceptable as a family-series suffix according to the *Code*), be considered nomenclaturally unavailable. These are of two kinds (Dubois 2006a: 178): *arhizonyms* are family-series nomina not based on generic nomina, and *caconyms* are family-series nomina based on generic nomina but with a complex suffix (such as *-forma*, *-morpha*, etc.). Examples of arhizonyms include “*BATRACINIA*”, “*GYMNODERMIA*” and “*PHRYNACINIA*”, coined by Rafinesque (1815) for taxa of ranks family or subfamily, along with available family-series nomina like *HYLARINIA*, *RANARINIA* and *TRITONIA*. Examples of caconyms include “*RANIFORMES*”, “*HYLAIFORMES*”, “*BUFONIFORMES*” and “*PIPAIFORMES*”, coined by Duméril & Bibron (1841) for taxa of rank family, along with available family-series nomina like *CÉCILIOÏDES*, *SALAMANDRIDES*, *AMPHIUMIDES* and *PROTÉIDES*.

In his study of class-series nomenclature in zoology, Dubois (2006a: 228), after a detailed discussion of the problems mentioned above and others, proposed two new Rules to clarify this situation and to distinguish between family-series and class-series nomina in a simple, objective and automatic manner:

“(R4) **Allocation of nomina to the family-series or to the class-series.** Whenever a single new suprageneric nomen of a given taxonomic rank was established in a publication, this nomen must be referred to the family-series if both following conditions are fulfilled: (A) it was proposed for a taxon of a rank usual within the family-series or of an unusual rank but clearly presented as being hierarchically subordinate to a usual rank of that series although above the genus; and (B) it was coined by addition of a simple suffix denoting the plural to the stem of an available genus-series nomen. In all other cases, the nomen must be referred to the class-series. Whenever several new suprageneric nomina of the same rank were established in a publication, they must all be referred to the same nominal-series; if they were treated heterogeneously with regard to the criteria above, they must follow the Rule of Taxonomic Consistency (R5).

(R5) **Rule of Taxonomic Consistency.** All suprageneric nomina created in the same publication for taxa that were afforded the same taxonomic rank must be referred to the same nominal-series. In case of conflict between their allocation to nominal-series according to Rule (R4), the family-series takes precedence over the class-series, and nomina that, being incorrectly formed (arhizonyms or caconyms), cannot be considered as belonging to that series, must be treated as nomenclaturally unavailable (anoplonyms*).

These proposed Rules should be studied carefully by the ICZN and incorporated into the *Code*, or others Rules should be proposed, but until this is done, ambiguity will exist and decisions regarding the status of some nomina of higher taxa will remain unclear, and will have to rely on arbitrary decisions on the part of some zoologists, as will now be shown.

In what follows, these general questions will be concretely studied in one zoological group: we will examine the status of the nomina used by the authors until now for (1) the order of reptiles including the turtles and (2) the family of turtles including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758.

THE HIGHER NOMENCLATURE OF TURTLES

Despite various works dealing with it, the higher nomenclature of turtles is not yet stabilized. The nomenclatural chaos is clearly emphasized by the use of different and incompatible nomenclatures over very short periods of times, not only by different authors, but sometimes by the same one (e.g., Vetter 2002, 2004; Vetter & van Dijk 2006). The last publications in this respect, by Rhodin et al. (2008, 2009), are not reliable references, as they display ignorance of several basic nomenclatural Rules of the

Code. For example, they do not follow the *Code*'s Principle of Coordination for superfamilies, which are credited to authors and dates different from those of their *hyponymous** families (e.g., *KINOSTERNIDAE* Agassiz, 1857 and *KINOSTERNOIDEA* Joyce, Parham & Gauthier, 2004) and sometimes given incorrect endings (*TRIONYCHIA* Hummel, 1929). An important nomenclatural flaw, discussed in detail below, is to refer the same nomen (*TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788) both to the family- and the class-series.

Table 1 (in Appendix 1) provides a survey of various nomina, with their authors and dates when they were specified, that have been used until now by a number of zoologists for the order of turtles and the family including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758.

Several problems can be identified in this table. First, although the family including the genus *Testudo* has almost always been known as *TESTUDINIDAE*, the author and date of the latter nomen has not been consensual. Some authors (e.g., Hunt 1958: 150; Iverson 1992: 3; Xianrui 1994: 4) have credited a nomen “Testudines” to Linnaeus (1758: 194, 198). However, it is fully clear that, in this and other works of Linnaeus, the term *Testudines* was a plural noun referring to the members of the genus *Testudo*, not a family-series or class-series nomen (Article 11.7.1.2; Bour & Dubois 1985). This is stressed by the fact that Linnaeus (1758: 198–199) also mentioned this word as *Testudine* and *Testudinibus*. Others have credited the familial nomen *TESTUDINIDAE* to Gray (1825), until Bour & Dubois (1985) drew the attention to the fact that the nomen *TESTUDINES*, coined by Batsch (1788: 437) for a family including the single genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758, was doubtless available in the family-series, where it has priority over all subsequent nomina coined on the basis of the stem of this generic nomen (including *TESTUDIA* Rafinesque-Schmaltz, 1814c, a nomen ignored by most authors until now). Following the *Code*, this nomen must simply be emended to *TESTUDINIDAE* Batsch, 1788 if used for a taxon of rank family, to *TESTUDINOIDEA* Batsch, 1788 for a taxon of rank superfamily, *TESTUDININAE* Batsch, 1788 for a subfamily, *TESTUDININI* Batsch, 1788 for a tribe and *TESTUDININA* Batsch, 1788 for a subtribe.

Still more confusion has been exhibited by the authors regarding the nomen of the order of turtles. The nomen *TESTUDINES* was used for this purpose, credited either to Linnaeus (1758) or to Batsch (1788), which is incorrect in both cases for the reasons given above (the former being a generic nomen in the plural, the latter a family-series nomen). The first valid creation of a nomen *TESTUDINES* for an order was by Wagler (1830: 130, 133), but this is subsequent to the other nomina discussed below. As a matter of fact, three other nomina were also widely used for the order, *CHELONII*, *CHELONIA* and *TESTUDINATA*.

Both **CHELONII** and **CHELONIA** are just subsequent latinizations of **CHÉLONIENS** Brongniart, 1800a. The spelling **CHELONIA** was first used by Ross & Macartney (and not Macartney alone, as wrongly stated by Loveridge 1957 or Romer 1966) in their 1802 translation of the work of Cuvier (1800). This latinization was posterior to that in **CHELONII** by Latreille (1800), used by many subsequent authors in the 19th century (Bour & Dubois 1985: 79) and resurrected by Bour (1981). Although the *Code* provides no guidelines for the authorship and date of class-series nomina, for reasons discussed in detail by Dubois (2006a, 2009), by simple consistency and parallelism with the Rules of the *Code* concerning family-series and genus-series nomina, it is justified to credit a class-series nomen published first in a non-latinized form to the author of this original nomen, so in this case to Brongniart (1800a). The spelling **CHELONII** being anterior to **CHELONIA**, and the latter being open to confusion because of hemihomonymy (Starobogatov 1991) with the generic nomen *Chelonia* Brongniart, 1800b, the use of **CHELONII** was supported by Bour (1981) and Bour & Dubois (1985), who noted that this nomen had priority over **TESTUDINATA**, an ordinal nomen coined by Oppel (1811b). In conclusion, Bour & Dubois (1985) proposed to use the nomen **CHELONII** Brongniart, 1800a for the order of turtles, a suggestion adopted by various subsequent authors (see Table 1).

As the *Code* provides no Rules or even guidelines for class-series nomenclature, this suggestion was based on the use of the Principle of *Onomatophores** (so-called “Principle of Typification”) in a way similar to its use in the three lower nominal-series recognized by the *Code*, a method explicitly presented by Dubois (1984). However, as was later shown by Dubois (2004, 2005a–b, 2006a–b, 2009; Dubois & Ohler 2009), because no Principle of Coordination is in force in class-series nomenclature, such a practice does not allow unambiguous allocation of a class-series nomen to a taxon as soon as several hierarchically subordinated taxa have the same onomatophore, so that more complete Rules had to be devised (Dubois 2006a). For the precise allocation of nomina to higher taxa, this system uses both the originally included genera or *conucleogenera* of the newly established taxon, and the genera originally expressly excluded from it, its *alienogenera*. Until these proposed Rules, or others, are incorporated into the *Code* in order to regulate class-series nomenclature, the latter will remain chaotic and left to “freedom” and “opinions” of individual zoologists, which will be a permanent nuisance for proper and unambiguous communication among all biologists.

This problem is made worse by the ambiguity, discussed above, regarding the distinction between class-series and family-series nomina in the *Code*. Although Batsch (1788) had clearly referred his new taxon *TESTUDINES* to the rank

family, there is nothing in the *Code* that imposes to refer this nomen to the family-series, all the more that Batsch (1788, 1789) was not consistent in his use of etymology for his familial nomina, some only being based on the stems of generic nomina he considered valid (see below).

Because of this ambiguity of the *Code*, it would be possible to refer the nomen *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788 either to the family-series (which clearly has our preference) or to the class-series. But it is fully unacceptable and impossible to refer it to *both*! This would be similar to accepting that a genus-series nomen, such as *Ranoidea* Tschudi, 1838 for example, can be considered available both as the nomen of a genus and of a superfamily! This is however what has been done by Fritz & Havaš (2006, 2007), followed by Vetter & van Dijk (2006) and Rhodin et al. (2008, 2009), who recognized, in the same classification, an order **TESTUDINES** Batsch, 1788 and a family *TESTUDINIDAE* Batsch, 1788, although both nomina are based on the one and single appearance of the nomen *TESTUDINES* in page 437 of Batsch (1788)! The fact that such incredible nomenclatural treatments can be accepted as valid by several contemporaneous taxonomists and periodicals points to the poor interest granted by many colleagues nowadays to nomenclatural Rules and to the chaotic situation created in zoological nomenclature by the incompleteness and ambiguity of the *Code*.

This exemplary case prompted us to undertake a detailed and complete survey of all suprageneric nomina created by Batsch (1788, 1789), which fully exemplifies these problems and allows to propose solutions to them.

BATSCH’S (1788, 1789) SUPRAGENERIC NOMINA IN ZOOLOGY

Batsch (1788, 1789) was one of the authors who, in the late 18th century, proposed a comprehensive classification of the animal kingdom and tried to improve the scheme of Linnaeus (1758, 1766, 1767) in this respect. In this classification, he used four ranks above the rank genus: family, order, class and an upper unnamed rank that we treat here for more simplicity as “superclass”. This classification is summarized here in our Table 2 (in Appendix 1).

Batsch (1788) was the first author to divide the animal kingdom in two main groups, his “superclasses” **OSSEA** and **CRUSTACEA**, which exactly correspond to the distinction between “*animaux à vertèbres*” and “*animaux sans vertèbres*” first proposed by Lamarck in his lectures (which were not published until 1801), and which Cuvier (1800) was the first author to formally name in a publication as **VERTÉBRÉS (VERTEBRATA)** and **INVERTÉBRÉS (INVERTEBRATA)**. Although Batsch’s (1788)

OSSEA has priority over **VERTEBRATA**, it would be inappropriate to replace the latter, which has been used millions of times in the scientific literature and therefore qualifies as a *sozonym**, by the former, which has been ignored and which is therefore a *distagmonym** (Dubois 2005a: 86, 2005b: 412).

In his **OSSEA**, Batsch (1788) recognized four classes, **MAMMALIA**, **AVES**, **AMPHIBIA** and **PISCES**, whereas in his **CRUSTACEA** he recognized two classes, **INSECTA** and **VERMES**. Although the nomina of these six classes are identical to those of the six zoological classes of Linnaeus (1758, 1766, 1767), their content is not always exactly the same. For example, Batsch (1789) removed from his **VERMES** the genus *Myxine* Linnaeus, 1758 placed in this classis by Linnaeus, and which is in fact a chordate. Therefore, the nomina used by Batsch for these classes should be credited to him, not to Linnaeus. They are junior homonyms of Linnaeus' identical nomina (see Dubois 2006a).

All genera in Batsch (1788, 1789) are referred to families. Families are referred to orders and then to the class only in one class (**MAMMALIA**). The nomina of the orders of mammals also are in part borrowed from Linnaeus, but here also sometimes with a slightly different content, which requires to consider them as distinct, junior homonymous nomina. In the other five classes, the only rank used above genus is that of family. Because the rank family is expressly used by Batsch, is situated in the nomenclatural hierarchy above the rank genus and below the ranks class and order (when available), and because some at least of these nomina are coined by addition of an ending indicating plural to the stem of an available generic nomen considered valid by Batsch (1788, 1789), we hereby consider the nomina of Batsch's "families" to be indeed family-series nomina, some of which only are nomenclaturally available.

The available family-series nomina in Batsch (1788, 1789), that appear in Table 2, are the 17 familial nomina in his work based on available generic nomina listed by him as valid among the genera of the family. This is for example the case of *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788, a taxon expressly mentioned as including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758.

As shown in Table 2, there are two categories of unavailable family-series nomina in Batsch (1788, 1789). The first one consists of arhizonyms, i.e., family-series that were not based on any then available zoological generic nomen. The second one consists of nomina that were indeed based on then available zoological generic nomina, but these nomina not being listed by Batsch (1788, 1789) as valid

among the members of the family, being presumably considered invalid synonyms of nomina used by Batsch as valid. As we here adopted the formulation (F1) above of Article 11.7.1.1 of the *Code*, these nomina must be considered as nomenclaturally unavailable, but if interpretation (F2) had to be followed these nomina would have to be treated as available. This small doubt is one of the consequences of the ambiguous writing of Article 11.7.1.1 in the current version of the *Code*.

The *Code* is silent about the nomenclatural status of familial nomina such as those created by Batsch (1788, 1789) but shown above to be unavailable in the family-series. In contrast, under the Rules proposed by Dubois (2006a) for class-series nomenclature, these nomina belong unambiguously in the family-series and are therefore clearly unavailable in the class-series as well, because of the Rule of Taxonomic Consistency presented above.

Except three, all the generic nomina listed by Batsch (1788, 1789) in his classification of the animal kingdom had previously been made available in zoological nomenclature by Linnaeus (1758) and in subsequent publications between 1758 and 1790. The only three exceptions are the nomina *Cylindrus* Batsch, 1789, *Hydrocantharus* Batsch, 1789 and *Turris* Batsch, 1789. The status of these three nomina is discussed below in Appendix 2.

Table 3 (in Appendix 1) lists the 17 familial nomina made nomenclaturally available in zoological nomenclature by Batsch (1788, 1789). Until now, only one (*TESTUDINIDAE*) has been credited to Batsch (1788), and the other 16 are traditionally credited to other authors at subsequent dates, but should now be credited to Batsch. This poses no problem of "nomenclatural stability", as none of these 16 familial nomina has to change, the change concerning only their author and date.

The familial nomen *LACERTIDAE*, that had previously (Dubois 2004; Dubois & Bour 2010) been credited to Batsch (1788), does not appear in Table 3. This is because this nomen could be considered available only under interpretation (F2) of Article 11.7.1.1. The genus *Lacerta* Linnaeus, 1758 was recognized by most authors of the end of the 18th century, but not by Laurenti (1768) who had split it into several genera and had not retained the nomen *Lacerta* for any of them (in contrast for what he had done in other cases, e.g. for *Rana*). He was apparently followed in this by Batsch (1788), who did not recognize or even mention the genus *Lacerta*. As we here adopted interpretation (F1) of Article 11.7.1.1, the family nomen *LACERTIDAE* cannot be credited to Batsch (1788). It must therefore be credited to the first subsequent author who used a family nomen based on the generic nomen *Lacerta* for

a family where the latter generic nomen was considered valid. This happens to be Opper (1811b: 16).

Establishing the proper nomen for the order of turtles (or “turtles, tortoises and terrapins”), i.e., including all recent turtles as well as a few additional Triassic groups, is beyond the scope of the present paper, and we just provide here a few comments in this respect. As discussed above, the nomen *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788, being available in the family-series, is not available in the class-series and cannot be used for an order. Under the nomenclatural Rules proposed by Dubois (2006a), the nomina **CHELONII** Brongniart, 1800a and **TESTUDINATA** Opper, 1811b are available in the class-series. However, they do not apply to the order of turtles, but to still higher taxa.

Under these Rules, the nomen **CHELONII** Brongniart, 1800a applies to the most inclusive class-series taxon containing the genera *Chelonia* Brongniart, 1800b and *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758, and excluding the 19 nominal genera referred by Brongniart (1800b) to his orders **BATRACHIA**, **OPHIDIA** and **SAURIA**.

As for the nomen **TESTUDINATA** Opper, 1811b, it applies to the most inclusive class-series taxon containing the genera *Chelonia* Brongniart, 1800b, *Chelys* Opper, 1811b, *Emys* Duméril, 1806, *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Trionyx* Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1809, and excluding the 48 nominal genera referred by Opper (1811b) to his orders **SQUAMATA** and **NUDA**.

Opper (1811b) credited the nomina of his orders **TESTUDINATA** and **NUDA** to Klein (1751), a work which, being anterior to 1758, is not nomenclaturally available. However, Joyce et al. (2004: 998) recently drew the attention to Behn’s (1760) translation and adaptation of Klein’s (1751) book, which includes all the taxa and nomina of the latter work. These post-1758 nomina would be available, with the authorship “Klein in Behn, 1760”, if this book was nomenclaturally available, but, for reasons explained in detail in our Appendix 2 below, we consider that it should not be considered so.

Several other class-series nomina applying to turtles and related groups have been published after the works just mentioned. Establishing the class-series taxa to which these nomina apply under Dubois’s (2006a) proposed Rules requires a long and detailed survey that would take us far beyond the purpose of the present paper and will be presented elsewhere. For the time being, this work is not urgent, as the phylogenetic relationships among these groups, and with the other tetrapods, are currently highly controversial (e.g., Werneburg & Sánchez-Villagra 2009, and included references), and it will be possible to

settle a robust nomenclature of these groups only when some consensus emerges on these questions.

CONCLUSION

The analysis presented above and the examples studied show that the current Rules of the *Code* are ambiguous regarding the allocation of nomina of higher zoological taxa to either the family-series or the class-series of nomina, and regarding the conditions of availability of family-series nomina. These Rules should be improved through modifications of Article 11.7.1.1 as suggested above, and mostly through incorporation of Rules for class-series nomina, as proposed in detail by Dubois (2006a).

A detailed study of all suprageneric nomina in the work of Batsch (1788, 1789) shows that this author proposed many family-series nomina, which belong in three categories: (C1) nomina clearly based on the stems of available generic nomina that were considered valid in this work: such nomina are available in the family-series; (C2) nomina apparently based on the stems of generic nomina nomenclaturally available at that time, but not treated as valid in this work: such nomina are unavailable both in the family-series and in the class-series; (C3) arhizonyms, i.e., nomina not based on the stems of any generic nomen nomenclaturally available at that time: such nomina are also unavailable both in the family-series and in the class-series. Nomina of the categories (C2) and (C3) are definitely unavailable and will never have to be used as valid in zoological nomenclature. But the nomina of category (C1) compete for priority with all other family-series subsequently proposed in zoological nomenclature. It so happens that these 17 nomina are identical with family-series nomina coined later on and based on the same nucleogenera. Therefore they must replace them, which entails no change in the nomina themselves (and therefore no disruption of nomenclatural stability) but only modifications regarding their authors and dates. These changes, listed in Table 3, should be implemented without delay in the respective zoological groups where they belong.

This analysis contributes to a clarification of the higher nomenclature of turtles. The nomen *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788 is not a class-series, but a family-series nomen. It cannot be used for the order of turtles, but is the valid nomen, under the spelling *TESTUDINIDAE*, of the family including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758 and of all other coordinate taxa as recognized in any given classification. As for the order of turtles, establishing the valid nomen of this taxon and of its superordinate taxa under the Rules proposed by Dubois (2006a) is beyond the scope

of the present paper, but it is shown here that neither **TESTUDINES** Linnaeus, 1758, nor **TESTUDINATA** Klein *in* Behn, 1760, nor **TESTUDINES** Batsch, 1788, nor **CHELONII** Brongniart, 1800a, nor **TESTUDINATA** Opperl, 1811b apply to this taxon. As long as the *Code* does not provide formal Rules for the nomenclature of class-series taxa, the higher nomenclature of turtles (as well as that of all other zoological groups) will remain a matter of personal or collective tastes, opinions and arbitrary decisions of zootaxonomists. At any rate, whatever Rules or guidelines are followed, it is impossible and unacceptable under any nomenclatural philosophy to accept that the nomen *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788 could be available *both* for the order of turtles and for the family including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Annemarie Ohler (Paris) for comments on this work while in progress, and to Franco Andeone (Torino), Myriamne Brival (Paris), Andrea Kourgli (Wien) and Victoire Koyamba (Paris) for bibliographic research.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1954) Opinion 259. Rejection of the names used by Mark Catesby in the Natural History of Carolina, as republished by Edwards in the edition of 1771, but acceptance of names formed in accordance with the Linnean system inserted by Edwards in that edition. Opinions and Declarations rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 5 (20): 253–264
- Anonymous [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1964) Opinion 701. *Pisidia* Leach, 1820, designation of a type-species under the plenary powers; and *Cancer istrianius* Scopoli, 1763, suppressed under the plenary powers (Crustacea, Decapoda). Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 21: 108–110
- Anonymous [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1985) International code of zoological nomenclature. Third edition. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London
- Anonymous [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1999) International code of zoological nomenclature. Fourth edition. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London
- Anonymous (2010) The Richmond Index. Washington, D.C., Division of Birds at the National Museum of Natural History. Online at <http://www.zoonomen.net/cit/RI/Genera/RIGenGt.html> (Version 1.06 (2010.07.03).) last accessed 02-09-2010
- Abbazzi L, Carboni S, Delfino M, Gallai G, Lecca L, Rook L (2008) Fossil vertebrates (Mammalia and Reptilia) from Capo Mannu formation (late Pliocene, Sardinia, Italy), with description of a new *Testudo* (Chelonii, Testudinidae) species. Rivista italiana di paleontologia e stratigrafia 114: 119–132, pl. 1
- Agassiz L (1857) Contributions to the natural history of the United States of America. First monograph, volume 1. Little, Brown & Co., Boston, & Trübner & Co., London
- Alderton D (1988) Turtles & tortoises of the world. Facts on file publications, New York
- Auffenberg W (1974) Checklist of fossil land tortoises (Testudinidae). Bulletin of the Florida State Museum 18 (3): 121–251
- Barboza du Bocage JV (1895) Herpétologie d'Angola et du Congo. Imprimerie Nationale, Lisbonne
- Batsch AJGC (1788) Versuch einer Anleitung, zur Kenntniß und Geschichte der Thiere und Mineralien, für akademische Vorlesungen entworfen, und mit den nöthigsten Abbildungen versehen. Erster Theil. Allgemeine Geschichte der Natur; besondere der Säugthiere, Vögel, Amphibien und Fische. Akademische Buchhandlung, Jena
- Batsch AJGC (1789) Versuch einer Anleitung, zur Kenntniß und Geschichte der Thiere und Mineralien, für akademische Vorlesungen entworfen, und mit den nöthigsten Abbildungen versehen. Zweyter Theil. Besondere Geschichte der Insekten, Gewürme und Mineralien. Akademische Buchhandlung, Jena
- Baur G (1887) Osteologische Notizen über Reptilien. Fortzung II. Zoologischer Anzeiger 10: 97–102
- Baur G (1892) Notes on some little known American fossil turtles. Proceedings of the Academy of natural sciences of Philadelphia [1891] 43: 411–430
- Behn FD (1760) Jakob Theodor Kleins (...) Classification und kurze Geschichte der Vierfüßigen Thiere (...). Jonas Schmidt, Lübeck
- Bergounioux FM (1955) Testudinata. In: Piveteau J, Traité de Paléontologie, Tome V, Amphibiens Reptiles Oiseaux, Masson et Cie, Paris: 487–544
- Blumenbach JF (1779) Handbuch der Naturgeschichte. Johann Christian Dieterich, Göttingen
- Boettger O (1893) Katalog der Reptilien-Sammlung im Museum der Senckenbergischen naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Frankfurt am Main. I. Theil (Rhynchocephalen, Schildkröten, Krokodile, Eidechsen, Chamäleons). Gebrüder Knauer, Frankfurt am Main
- Bonaparte CL (1831) Saggio di una distribuzione metodica degli animali vertebrati. Giornale Arcadico di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti 52: 1–78 + 129–209
- Bonaparte, CLJL (1845) Specchio generale dei sistemi erpetologico, anfibiologico ed ittologico. Luigi di Giacomo Pirola, Milano
- Bonaparte, CL (1850) Conspectus systematum herpetologiae et amphibiologiae. Editio altera reformata. E. J. Brill, Lugduni Batavorum
- Boulenger GA (1889) Catalogue of the chelonians, rhynchocephalians, and crocodiles in the British Museum (Natural History). Trustees of the British Museum, London
- Boulenger GA (1923) Etude sur les batraciens et les reptiles rapportés par M. Henri Gadeau de Kerville de son voyage zoologique en Syrie (avril-juin 1908). J. B. Baillière et fils, Paris
- Bour R (1981) Etude systématique du genre endémique malgache *Pyxis* Bell, 1827 (Reptilia, Chelonii). Bulletin mensuel de la Société linnéenne de Lyon 4: 132–144
- Bour R, Dubois A (1985) Nomenclature ordinale et familiale des Tortues (Reptilia). Studia geologica salmanticensia, vol. especial 1, Studia palaeocheloniologica, I, Salamanca, Ediciones Universidad: 77–86
- Boycott R, Bourquin O (2000) The southern African tortoise book. O. Bourquin, Hilton
- Brisson MJ (1760) Ornithologia sive synopsis methodica sistens avium divisionem in ordines, sectiones, genera, species, ipsarumque varietates. Ornithologie ou méthode contenant la division des oiseaux en ordres, sections, genres, espèces & leurs variétés. Tome 1. Cl. Joannem-Baptistam Bauche, Paris

- Brisson MJ (1762) Regnum animale in classes IX distributum, sive synopsis methodica sistens generalem animalium distributionem in classes IX, & duarum primarum classium, quadrupedum scilicet & cetaceorum, particularem divisionem in ordines, sectiones, genera & species. Cum brevi cujusque speciei descriptione, citationibus auctorum de iis tractantium, nominibus eis ab ipsis & nationibus impositis, nominibusque vulgaribus. Editio altera auctior. Theodorum Haak, Lugduni Batavorum
- Brongniart A (1800a) Essai d'une classification naturelle des reptiles. I^{ère} partie. Etablissement des ordres. Bulletin des Sciences, par la Société philomathique de Paris 2 (35): 81–82
- Brongniart A (1800b) Essai d'une classification naturelle des reptiles. II^e partie. Formation et disposition des genres. Bulletin des Sciences, par la Société philomathique de Paris, 2 (36): 89–91, pl. 6
- Bruguière JG (1789) Histoire naturelle des Vers. Encyclopédie méthodique. Tome sixième. [Vol. 1]. Panckouke, Paris & Plomteux, Liège
- Brünnichius MT (1771) Zoologiae fundamenta praelectionibus academicis accomodata. Frider. Christ. Pelt, Hafniae & Lipsiae
- Bucquoy E, Dautzenberg P, Dollfus GF (1883) Les Mollusques marins du Roussillon. Tome 1^{er}. Gastropodes. Fascicule 3. J.-B. Baillière & Fils, Paris
- Catesby M (1771a) The natural history of Carolina, Florida, and the Bahama Islands: containing the figures of birds, beasts, fishes, serpents, insects, and plants; particularly, those not hitherto described, or incorrectly figured by former authors, with their descriptions in English and French (...). By the late Mark Catesby; F.R.S. Revised by Mr. Edwards of the Royal College of Surgeons, London. To the whole is now added a Linnaean Index of the Animals and Plants. Volume the first. Histoire naturelle de la Caroline, de la Floride, et des Isles de Bahama: contenant les desseins [sic] des oiseaux, des quadrupedes, des poissons, des serpens, des insectes, & des plantes, qui se trouvent dans ces pays-là; et en particulier, de ceux qui n'ont point été décrits jusqu'à present par les auteurs, ou peu exactement dessinés. Avec leurs descriptions et François et en Anglois (...). Par Feu Monsieur Mark Catesby, de la Société Royale, revue [sic] par Monsieur Edwards, du College Royal des Mediciens [sic] de Londres. Tome I. Benjamin White, London
- Catesby M (1771b) The natural history of Carolina, Florida, and the Bahama Islands: containing the figures of birds, beasts, fishes, serpents, insects, and plants; particularly, those not hitherto described, or incorrectly figured by former authors, with their descriptions in English and French (...). By the late Mark Catesby; F.R.S. Revised by Mr. Edwards of the Royal College of Surgeons, London. To the whole is now added a Linnaean Index of the Animals and Plants. Volume the second. Histoire naturelle de la Caroline, de la Floride, et des Isles de Bahama: contenant les desseins [sic] des oiseaux, des quadrupedes, des poissons, des serpens, des insectes, & des plantes, qui se trouvent dans ces pays-là; et en particulier, de ceux qui n'ont point été décrits jusqu'à present par les auteurs, ou peu exactement dessinés. Avec leurs descriptions et François et en Anglois (...). Par Feu Monsieur Mark Catesby, de la Société Royale, revue [sic] par Monsieur Edwards, du College Royal des Mediciens [sic] de Londres. Tome II. Benjamin White, London
- Čkhikvazde VM (1970) [On the origin of the modern Palearctic land tortoises]. Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the Georgian SSR 75 (1): 245–247. [In Russian]
- Cope ED (1875) Check-list of North American Batrachia and Reptilia; with a systematic list of the higher groups, and an essay on geographical distribution. Based on the specimens contained in the U. S. National Museum. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 1: i–ii + 1–104
- Cuvier G (1800) Leçons d'anatomie comparée. Tome 1. Baudouin, Paris
- Cuvier G (1802) Lectures on comparative anatomy. Translated from the French of G. Cuvier (...) by William Ross, under the inspection of James Macartney (...). Vol. 1. On the organs of motion. Longman & Rees, London
- David P (1994) Liste des Reptiles actuels du monde. I. Chelonii. *Dumerilia* 1: 7–127
- De Blainville H (1816) Prodrome d'une nouvelle distribution systématique du règne animal. Bulletin des Sciences de la Société philomathique de Paris, juillet 1816: "105–112" [in fact 113–120] + 121–124
- De Blainville H (1847) Sur les principes de la zooclassie ou de la classification des animaux. Roret, Paris
- De Broin F (1982) Chelonii. In: Fabre J, de Broin F, Onsburo L, Wenz S, Les Vertébrés du Berriasien de Canjuers (Var, France) et leur environnement, *Geobios* 15 (6): 897–898
- De Geer C (1778) Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire des insectes. Tome septième. Pierre Hesselberg, Stockholm
- De Lapparent de Broin F (2000) The European turtle fauna from the Triassic to the Present: a short review. *Dumerilia* 4 (3): 155–216
- De Rooij N (1915) The reptiles of the Indo-Australian Archipelago. I. Lacertilia, Chelonia, Emydosauria. E. J. Brill, Leiden
- Denys de Montfort P (1810) Conchyliologie systématique, et Classification méthodique des coquilles (...). Coquilles univalves, non cloisonnées. Tome second. F. Schœll, Paris
- Deshayes GP (1824) Cylindre. In: Dictionnaire classique d'Histoire naturelle, Tome cinquième, CRA-D, Rey & Gravier, Baudouin Frères, Paris: 236
- Draparnaud JPR (1805) Histoire naturelle des Mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles de la France, ouvrage posthume. Louis Colas, Paris
- Dubois A (1984) La nomenclature supragénérique des Amphibiens Anoures. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (A) 131: 1–64
- Dubois A (2000) Synonymies and related lists in zoology: general proposals, with examples in herpetology. *Dumerilia* 4 (2): 33–98
- Dubois A (2004) The higher nomenclature of recent amphibians. *Alytes* 22 (1–2): 1–14
- Dubois A (2005a) Propositions pour l'incorporation des nomina de taxons de rang supérieur dans le *Code international de nomenclature zoologique*. In: Dubois A, Poncy O, Malécot V, Léger N (eds.), Comment nommer les taxons de rang supérieur en zoologie et en botanique?, *Biosystema* 23: 73–96
- Dubois A (2005b) Proposed Rules for the incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked zoological taxa in the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*. 1. Some general questions, concepts and terms of biological nomenclature. *Zoosystema* 27 (2): 365–426
- Dubois A (2005c) Proposals for the incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked taxa into the Code. Bulletin of zoological Nomenclature 62 (4): 200–209
- Dubois A (2006a) Proposed Rules for the incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked zoological taxa in the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*. 2. The proposed Rules and their rationale. *Zoosystema* 28 (1): 165–258
- Dubois A (2006b) Incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked taxa into the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*: some basic questions. *Zootaxa* 1337: 1–37

- Dubois A (2006c) Naming taxa from cladograms: a cautionary tale. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution* 42: 317–330
- Dubois A (2007) Nomina zoologica linnaeana. In: Zhang Z-Q, Shear WA (eds.), *Linnaeus tercentenary: progress in invertebrate taxonomy*, *Zootaxa* 1668: 81–106
- Dubois A (2008a) Authors of zoological publications and nomina are signatures, not persons. *Zootaxa* 1771: 63–68.
- Dubois A (2008b) Phylogenetic hypotheses, taxa and nomina in zoology. In: Minelli A, Bonato L, Fusco G (eds.), *Updating the Linnaean heritage: names as tools for thinking about animals and plants*, *Zootaxa* 1950: 51–86
- Dubois A (2009) Incorporation of nomina of higher-ranked taxa into the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*: the nomenclatural status of class-series zoological nomina published in a non-latinized form. *Zootaxa* 2106: 1–12
- Dubois A (2010) Retroactive changes should be introduced in the *Code* only with great care: problems related to the spellings of nomina. *Zootaxa* 2426: 1–42
- Dubois A, Bour R (2010) The nomenclatural status of the nomina of amphibians and reptiles created by Garsault (1764), with a parsimonious solution to an old nomenclatural problem regarding the genus *Bufo* (Amphibia, Anura), comments on the taxonomy of this genus, and comments on some nomina created by Laurenti (1768). *Zootaxa* 2447: 1–52
- Dubois A, Ohler A (2009) The status of the amphibian nomina created by Merrem (1820) and Ritgen (1828). *Zootaxa* 2247: 1–36
- Duméril AMC (1806) *Zoologie analytique, ou méthode naturelle de classification des animaux, rendue plus facile à l'aide de tableaux synoptiques*. Allais, Paris
- Duméril AMC, Bibron G (1834) *Erpétologie générale ou histoire naturelle complète des Reptiles*. Tome 1. Roret, Paris
- Duméril AMC, Bibron G (1835) *Erpétologie générale ou histoire naturelle complète des Reptiles*. Tome 2. Roret, Paris
- Duméril AMC, Bibron G (1841) *Erpétologie générale ou histoire naturelle complète des Reptiles*. Tome 8. Roret, Paris
- Dundee HA (1989) Higher category name usage for amphibians and reptiles. *Systematic Zoology* 38 (4): 398–406
- Ernst CH, Barbour RW (1989) *Turtles of the world*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington
- Fabricius JC (1775) *Systema Entomologiae, sistens Insectorum classes, ordines, genera, species*. Libraria Kortii, Flensburgi et Lipsiae
- Fischer G (1817) *Adversaria zoologica. Fasciculus primus. Quaedam ad Mammalium systema et genera illustranda*. Mémoires de la Société impériale des naturalistes de Moscou 5: 357–446
- Fitzinger LJ (1826) *Neue Classification der Reptilien*. Heubner, Wien
- Fitzinger LJ (1833) *Systematisches Verzeichniß der im Erzherzogthume Oesterreich vorkommenden Weichthiere, als Prodrom einer Fauna derselben*. In: *Beiträge zur Landeskunde Oesterreich's unter der Enns*, Wien, in Commission der J. Beck'schen Universitäts-Buchhandlung 3: 88–122
- Fitzinger L (1835) Entwurf einer systematischen Anordnung der Schildkröten nach den Grundsätzen der natürlichen Methode. *Annalen des Wiener Museums der Naturgeschichte* 1: 105–128
- Fitzinger LJ (1843) *Systema Reptilium*. Fasc. 1. Amblyglossae. Braumüller & Seidel, Vindobonae
- Fitzinger LJ (1867) *Bilder-Atlas zur wissenschaftlich-populären Naturgeschichte der Wirbelthiere*. III. Amphibien. K.K. Hof- und Staatsdruckerei, Wien
- Frank C (2006) Plio-pleistozäne und holozäne Mollusken Österreichs. *Mitteilungen der Prähistorischen Kommission der Bonn zoological Bulletin* 57 (2): 149–171
- Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Plio-pleistozäne und Holozäne Mollusken Österreichs, Wien, Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 62: i–xv + 1–860
- Freiberg MA (1938) *Catalogo sistemático y descriptivo de las tortugas argentinas*. *Memorias del Museo de Entre Rios* 9, *Zoologia*: 3–23, pl. 1–8
- Fritz U, Havaš P (2006) *Checklist of chelonians of the world*. Dresden, Museum of Zoology
- Fritz U, Havaš P (2007) *Checklist of chelonians of the world*. *Vertebrate Zoology* 57 (2): 149–368
- Fuhn IE, Vancea Ş (1961) *Fauna Republicii Populare Romîne. Reptilia (Țestoase, Șopîrle, Șerpi)*. Volumul 19 fascicula 2. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne, Bucuresti
- Gaffney ES (1975) A phylogeny and classification of the higher categories of turtles. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 155 (5): 387–436
- Garsault FAP de (1764) *Les Figures des plantes et animaux d'usage en médecine, décrits dans la Matière Médicale de Mr. Geoffroy Médecin*. Paris
- Geoffroy EL (1762) *Histoire abrégée des Insectes qui se trouvent aux environs de Paris*. Tome 1. Durand, Paris
- Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire E (1809) *Mémoire sur les tortues molles*. *Nouveau Bulletin des Sciences*, par la Société philomatique 1 (22): 363–367
- Goeze, JAE (1782) *Versuch einer Naturgeschichte der Eingeweidewürmer thierischer Körper*. Philipp Adam Pape, Blankenburg
- Goeze JAE, Donndorff JA (1797) *Europäische Fauna oder Naturgeschichte des europäischen Thiere*. Weidmann, Leipzig
- Goin CJ, Goin OB (1962) *Introduction to herpetology*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco
- Goldfuss GA (1820) *Handbuch der Zoologie*. II. Johann Leonhard Schrag, Nürnberg
- Gray, JE (1821) On the natural arrangement of vertebrate animals. *London Medical Repository* 15: 296–310
- Gray JE (1825) A synopsis of the genera of Reptiles and Amphibia, with a description of some new species. *Annals of Philosophy* (2) 10: 193–217
- Gray JE (1831a) A synopsis of the species of the class Reptilia. In: Griffith E et al. *The animal kingdom arranged in conformity with its organization, by the Baron Cuvier, member of the Institute of France, &c. &c. &c., with additional descriptions of all the species hitherto named, and of many not before noticed*, Vol. 9, Whittaker, Treacher & Co., London: 1–110
- Gray JE (1831b) *Synopsis Reptilium or short descriptions of the species of Reptiles*. Part I. *Cataphracta*. Tortoises, crocodiles and enaliosaurians. Treuttel, Würtz & Co., Sowerby & Wood, London
- Gray JE (1844) *Catalogue of the tortoises, crocodiles, and amphibænians, in the collection of the British Museum*. Trustees of the British Museum, London
- Gray JE (1855) *Catalogue of shield reptiles in the collection of the British Museum*. Part I. *Testudinata* (tortoises). Trustees of the British Museum, London
- Gray JE (1873) *Handlist of the specimens of shield reptiles in the British Museum*. Trustees of the British Museum, London
- Griffith E, Pidgeon E (1831) *The class Reptilia arranged by the Baron Cuvier, with specific descriptions*. In: Griffith E et al. *The animal kingdom arranged in conformity with its organization, by the Baron Cuvier, member of the Institute of France, &c. &c. &c., with additional descriptions of all the species hitherto named, and of many not before noticed*, Vol. 9, Whittaker, Treacher & Co., London: 1–481

- Gueldenstaedt AJ (1770) *Spalax*, novum Glirium Genus. Novi Commentari Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae, Tome 14, Pars prior: 409–440, pl. 8–9
- Günther ACLG (1859) On sexual differences found in bones of some recent and fossil species of frogs and fishes. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* (3) 3: 377–387, pl. 15–16
- Günther ACLG (1864) *The Reptiles of British India*. Ray Society, London
- Hemprich, FW (1820) *Grundriß der Naturgeschichte für höhere Lehranstalten*. August Rücker, Berlin
- Hogg J (1841) On the existence of branchiae in the young caeciliae; and on a modification and extension of the branchial classification of the Amphibia. *Annals and Magazine of natural History* (1) 7: 353–363
- Huene F von (1952) *Die Saurierwelt und ihre geschichtlichen Zusammenhänge*. Gustav Fischer, Jena
- Hummel K (1929) Die fossilen Weichschildkröten (Trionychia). *Geologische und paleontologische Abhandlungen* 16: 359–487
- Hunt TJ (1958) The ordinal name for tortoises, terrapins and turtles. *Herpetologica* 14 (3): 148–150
- Illiger, JKW (1815) Ueberblick der Säugthiere nach ihrer Vertheilung über die Welttheile. *Abhandlungen der physikalischen Klasse der Königlich-Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften aus den Jahren 1804–1811* [1]: 39–159
- Iverson JB (1992) A revised checklist with distribution maps of the turtles of the world. J. Iverson, Richmond
- Jan G (1830) *Scientiae naturalis cultoribus conspectus methodicus testaceorum in collectione mea exstantium anno 1830*. Parma
- Jiufa Z, Ting Z (1992) *Chinese chelonians illustrated*. Jiangsu science and technology publishing house, Nanjing
- Joyce WG, Parham JF, Gauthier JA (2004) Developing a protocol for the conversion of rank-based taxon names to phylogenetically defined clade names, as exemplified by turtles. *Journal of Paleontology* 78 (5): 989–1013
- Keen AM (1971) *Sea shells of tropical west America: marine mollusks from Baja California to Peru*. Second edition. Stanford University Press, Stanford
- Kennard J (1942) The Histoire and Prodrome of Férussac. Part III. The divisional names. *Journal of molluscan Studies* 25 (3): 111–118
- King FW, Burke RL (1989) *Crocodylian, tuatara, and turtle species of the world*. Association of Systematics Collections, Washington
- Klein IT (1751) *Quadrupedum dispositio brevisque historia naturalis*. Ionam Schmidt, Lipsiae
- Kuhn O (1967) *Amphibien und Reptilien*. G. Fischer, Stuttgart
- Kuzmin SL (2002) *The turtles of Russia and other ex-Soviet Republics*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main
- Lamarck JB Monet de (1801) *Système [sic] des animaux sans vertèbres, ou tableau général des classes, des ordres et des genres de ces animaux; présentant leurs caractères essentiels et leur distribution, d'après la considération de leurs rapports naturels et de leur organisation*. Déterville, Paris
- Latreille (1800) *Histoire naturelle des salamandres de France, précédée d'un tableau méthodique des autres reptiles*. Villier, Paris
- Latreille PA (1802) *Histoire naturelle, générale et particulière des crustacés et des insectes*. Ouvrage faisant suite à l'histoire naturelle générale et particulière, composée par Leclerc de Buffon, et rédigée par C. S. Sonnini, membre de plusieurs sociétés savantes. III. F. Dufart, Paris
- Latreille (1810) *Considérations générales sur l'ordre naturel des crustacés, arachnides et insectes*. Avec un tableau méthodique de leurs genres disposés en familles. F. Schoell, Paris
- Latreille (1824) *Esquisse d'une distribution générale du règne animal*. Agasse, Paris
- Latreille (1825) *Familles naturelles du règne animal*. Baillièrre, Paris
- Laurenti JN (1768) *Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsis Reptilium emendatam cum experimentis circa venena et antidota Reptilium austriacorum*. Joan. Thom. Nob. de Trattner, Vienne
- Linnaeus C (1758) *Systema Naturæ per regna tria naturæ, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Editio decima, reformata. Tomus I. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Linnaeus C (1759) *Systema Naturæ per regna tria naturæ, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis*. Editio decima, reformata. Tomus II. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Linnaeus C (1761) *Fauna Svecica*. Editio altera, auctior. Laurentii Salvii, Stockholmia
- Linnæus C (1764) *Museum S:æ R:æ M:tis Adolphi Friderici Regis Svecorum, Gothorum, Vandalorumque &c. &c. &c. in quo Animalia rariora imprimis & exotica: Aves, Amphibia, Pisces describuntur*. Tomi Secundi Prodromus. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Linnaeus C (1766) *Systema Naturæ*. Editio duodecima, reformata. Tomus I, Pars I. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Linnaeus C (1767) *Systema Naturæ*. Editio duodecima, reformata. Tomus I, Pars II. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Loveridge A (1957) Check list of the reptiles and amphibians of East Africa (Uganda; Kenya; Tanganyika; Zanzibar). *Bulletin of the Museum of comparative Zoology* 117 (2): 151–362 + i–xxxvi
- Loveridge A, Williams EE (1957) Revision of the African tortoises and turtles of the suborder Cryptodira. *Bulletin of the Museum of comparative Zoology* 115 (6): 163–557, pl. 1–18
- Mendes da Costa E (1776) *Elements of Conchology: or, an introduction to the Knowledge of Shells*. Benjamin White, London
- Mendes da Costa E (1778) *Historia Naturalis Testaceorum Britannia, or The British Conchology*. Millan, B. White, Elmsley & Robson, London
- Merrem B (1820) *Versuch eines Systems der Amphibien*. Tentamen systematis amphibiorum. Iohann Christian Krieger, Marburg
- Mertens R, Müller L (1928) *Liste der Amphibien und Reptilien Europas*. *Abhandlungen der senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft* 41: 1–62
- Mertens R, Wermuth H (1955) Die rezenten Schildkröten, Krokodile und Brückenechsen. *Zoologischer Jahrbücher* 83 (5): 323–440
- Mickoleit G (2004) *Phylogenetische Systematik der Wirbeltiere*. Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München
- Młynarski M (1976) *Handbuch der Paläoherpetologie*. 7. Testudines. G. Fisher, Stuttgart & New York
- Müller OF (1771) *Von Würmern des süßen und salzigen Wassers*. Heineck und Faber, Kopenhagen
- Müller OF (1773) *Vermium terrestrium et fluviatilium, seu animalium infusoriorum, helminthicorum et testaceorum, non marinorum, succincta historia*. Vol. I pars I. Heineck et Faber, Haunia et Lipsia
- Müller OF (1776) *Zoologiae Danicae prodromus, seu animalium Daniae et Norvegiae indigenarum characteres, nomina, et synonyma imprimis popularium*. Typis Hallageriis, Haunia
- Müller OF (1777) *Zoologiae Danicae, seu animalium Daniae et Norvegiae rariorum ac minus notorum icones*. Fasciculus primus. Typis Mart. Hallageri, Haunia

- Noriega JI, Manzano AS, De La Fuente M, Tonni EP (2000) Un Testudinidae gigante (Chelonii: Cryptodira) del Pleistoceno de la provincia de Corrientes, Argentina. *Ameghiniana* 37 (3): 321–326
- Nutaphand W (1979) The turtles of Thailand. Siamfarm zoological garden, Bangkok
- Oken L (1821) Esquisse du système d'anatomie, de physiologie et d'histoire naturelle. Béchét Jeune, Paris
- Oken L (1833) Allgemeine Naturgeschichte für alle Stände. Vierter Band. Thierreich, erster Band. Carl Hoffmann, Stuttgart
- Oppel M (1811a) Ordre II. Reptiles à écailles. Section 2. Ophiidiens. *Annales du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle* [1810] 16: 254–295 + 376–393
- Oppel M (1811b) Ordnungen, Familien und Gattungen der Reptilien als Prodrom einer Naturgeschichte derselben. Joseph Lindauer, München
- Pallas PS (1766a) [March] Elenchus Zoophytorum sistens generum adumbrationes generaliores et specierum cognitarum succinctas descriptiones cum selectis auctorum synonymis. Franciscum Varrentrapp, Hagæ Comitum
- Pallas PS (1766b) [November] Miscellanea zoologica quibus novæ imprimis atque obscuræ animalium species describuntur et observationibus iconibusque illustrantur. Petrum Van Cleef, Hagæ Comitum
- Peters WCH (1862) Über die von dem so früh in Afrika verstorbenen Freiherrn von Barnim und Dr. Hartmann auf ihrer Reise durch Aegypten, Nubien und dem Sennar gesammelten Amphibien. *Monatsberichte der königlich preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* 1862: 271–279, 1 pl.
- Peters WCH (1882) Naturwissenschaftliche Reise nach Mossambique auf Befehl seiner Majestät des Königs Friedrich Wilhelm IV in den Jahren 1842 bis 1848 ausgeführt. Zoologie. III. Amphibien. G. Reimer, Berlin
- Philippson LM (1788) Dissertatio historico-naturalis sistens nova testaceorum genera. Quam venia ampliss. Facult. Philosophicæ præside D. M. Andr. J. Retzio (...) ad publicum examen desert Laurentius Münter Philippson scanus. Typis Berlingianis, Lundæ
- Pitt WD, James MJ, Hixckman CS, Lipps JH, Pitt LJ (1986) Late Cenozoic marine molluscs from cones in the Galapagos Islands. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 44 (12): 269–282
- Pough FH, Andrews RM, Cadle JE, Crump ML, Savitzky AH, Wells KD (2004) *Herpetology*. Third edition. Pearson, Upper Saddle River (NJ)
- Pritchard PCH (1967) *Living turtles of the world*. T.F.H. publications, Neptune City (NJ)
- Pritchard PCH (2007) Evolutionary relationships, osteology, morphology, and zoogeography of ridley sea turtles. Pp. 45–57 in: Plotkin PT, Biology and conservation of ridley sea turtles. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (MD)
- Pritchard PCH, Trebbau P (1984) *The turtles of Venezuela*. SSAR, Athens (OH)
- Rafinesque CS (1815) Analyse de la nature ou Tableau de l'univers et des corps organisés. Jean Barravecchia, Palerme
- Rafinesque-Schmaltz CS (1814a) O quadro del metodo sinottico di somiologia. *Specchio delle Scienze o Giornale enciclopedico di Sicilia* 1 (1): 11–15
- Rafinesque-Schmaltz CS (1814b) Principes généraux de Somiologie ou les loix [sic] de la nomenclature et de la classification de l'empire organique ou des animaux et des végétaux, contenant les règles essentielles de l'art de leur imposer des noms immuables et de les classer méthodiquement. Franc. Abate, Palerme
- Rafinesque-Schmaltz CS (1814c) Prodrono [sic] di Erpetologia Siciliana. *Specchio delle Scienze o Giornale enciclopedico di Sicilia* 2 (9): 65–67
- Rafinesque-Schmaltz CS (1814d) Fine del Prodromo d'Erpetologia Siciliana. *Specchio delle Scienze o Giornale enciclopedico di Sicilia* 2 (10): 102–104
- Rhodin AGJ, Parham JF, van Dijk PP, Iverson JB (2009) *Turtles of the world: annotated checklist of taxonomy and synonymy, 2009 update, with conservation status summary*. Chelonian Research Monographs 5: 39–84
- Rhodin AGJ, van Dijk PP, Parham JF (2008) *Turtles of the world: annotated checklist of taxonomy and synonymy*. Chelonian Research Monographs 5: 1–38
- Richard E (1999) *Tortugas de las regiones aridas de Argentina*. LOLA, Buenos Aires
- Ritgen FA (1828) Versuch einer natürlichen Eintheilung der Vögel. *Nova Acta physico-medica Academiae Caesareae Leopoldino-Carolinae Naturae Curiosorum* 14: 245–284.
- Röding PF (1798) *Museum Boltenianum sive Catalogus cimeliorum e tribus regnis naturæ. Pars secunda*. Typis Johan. Christi. Trappii, Hamburgi
- Roederer JG (1761) [Untitled]. *Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen* 1 (25): 243–246
- Romer AS (1956) *Osteology of the Reptiles*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago
- Romer AS (1966) *Vertebrate paleontology*. 3rd edition. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago & London
- Rüppel E (1843) Verzeichniss der in dem Museum der Senckenbergischen naturforschenden Gesellschaft aufgestellten Sammlungen. Dritte Abtheilung: Amphibien. *Museum Senckenbergianum. Abhandlungen aus dem Gebiete de beschreibenden Naturgeschichte* 3: 293–316.
- Schmidt KP (1953) A check list of North American amphibians and reptiles. Sixth edition. American Society of Ichthyology & Herpetology, Chicago
- Schultze CF (1760) *Betrachtung der versteinerten Seesterne und ihrer Theile*. Michael Gröll, Warschau & Dresden
- Scopoli GA (1777) *Introductio ad Historiam naturalem sistens Genera lapidum, plantarum, et animalium*. Wolfgangum Gerle, Bibliopolam, Pragæ
- Sherborn CD (1902) *Index animalium sive index nominum quae ab A.D. MDCCLVIII generibus et speciebus animalium imposita sunt. Sectio prima a kalendis ianuariis, MDCCLVIII usque ad finem decembris, MDCCC*. Typographio Academico, Cantabrigiae
- Siebenrock F (1909) Synopsis der rezenten Schildkröten, mit Berücksichtigung der in historischer Zeit ausgestorbenen Arten. *Zoologische Jahrbücher (Suppl. 10)* 3: 427–618
- Simpson GG (1940) Types in modern taxonomy. *American Journal of Science* 238: 413–431
- Smith HM, Taylor EH (1950) An annotated checklist and key to the reptiles of Mexico exclusive of the snakes. *United States National Museum, Bulletin* 199: iii–v + 1–253
- Smith MA (1933) *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Reptilia and Amphibia*. Vol. 1, Loricata, Testudines. Taylor & Francis, London
- Sonnerat (1777) *Reise nach Neuguinea, aus dem Französischen übersetzt von J. P. Ebeling, der Arzneygelehrtheit Beflissenen*. Weygandschen Buchhandlung, Leipzig
- Stannius H (1856) *Handbuch der Anatomie der Wirbelthiere*. 2. Zootomie der Amphibien. In: Von Siebold CT, Stannius H, *Handbuch der Zootomie*, Vol. 1. von Weit & Comp., Berlin
- Starobogatov YI (1991) Problems in the nomenclature of higher taxonomic categories. *Bulletin of zoological Nomenclature* 48: 6–18

- Stattius Müller PL (1766) *Deliciae Naturae Selectae* oder auserlesenes Naturalien-Cabinet welches aus den drey Reichen der Natur zeigt, was von curiösen Liebhabern aufbehalten und gesammelt zu werden verdient. Ehemals herausgegeben von Georg Wolfgang Knorr berühmten Kupferstecher in Nürnberg, fortgesetzt von dessen Erben, beschrieben von Phillip Ludwig Stattius Müller (...), und in das Französische übersetzt von Matthäus Verdier de la Blaquièrre (...). Knorr, Nürnberg
- Stejneger L (1907) Herpetology of Japan and adjacent territory. *Bulletin of the United States national Museum* 58: i–xx + 1–577, pl. 1–35
- Stejneger L, Barbour T (1917) A check list of North American amphibians and reptiles. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Strauch A (1862) Chelonologische Studien, mit besonderer Beziehung auf die Schildkrötensammlung der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu St.-Petersburg. *Mémoires de l'Académie impériale des Sciences de Saint Petersburg* (7) 5 (7): 1–196, 1 pl.
- Strauch A (1865) Die Vertheilung der Schildkröten über den Erdball. Ein zoographischer Versuch. *Mémoires de l'Académie impériale des Sciences de Saint Petersburg* (7) 8 (13): 1–207
- Strauch A (1890) Bemerkungen über die im zoologischen Museum Schildkrötensammlung der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu St.-Petersburg. *Mémoires de l'Académie impériale des Sciences de Saint Petersburg* (7) 38 (2): 1–127, pl. 1–4
- Swainson W (1835) A treatise on the geography and classification of animals. In: Lardner D. (ed.), *The cabinet cyclopaedia*, Longman et al., London
- Terent'ev PV, Chernov SA (1949) Key to amphibians and reptiles. 3rd, enlarged edition. Translated from Russian [1965]. Israel program for scientific translations, Jerusalem
- Troschel FH (1866) Bericht über die Leistungen in der Herpetologie während des Jahres 1865. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* 32 (2): 180–192
- Tschudi JJ von (1838) Classification der Batrachier, mit Berücksichtigung der fossilen Thiere dieser Abtheilung der Reptilien. Petitpierre, Neuchâtel
- Vanni S, Nistri A (2006) Atlante degli anfibi e dei rettili della Toscana. Museo di storia naturale, Sezione di Zoologia La Specola, Firenze
- Vetter H (2002) *Turtles of the World Vol. 1. Schildkröten der Welt Band 1. Africa, Europe and Eastern Asia – Afrika, Europa und Westasien*. Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main
- Vetter H (2004) *Turtles of the World Vol. 2. Schildkröten der Welt Band 2. North America – Nordamerika*. Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main
- Vetter H, van Dijk PP (2006) *Turtles of the World Vol. 4. Schildkröten der Welt Band 4. East und South Asia – Ost- und Süd-asien*. Chimaira, Frankfurt am Main
- Vieillot LP (1816) *Analyse d'une nouvelle ornithologie élémentaire*. Déterville, Paris
- Vigors NA (1825) Sketches in ornithology; or, observations on the leading affinities of some of the more extensive groups of birds. *Zoological Journal* 2: 368–405
- Voet JE (1793) *Ioannis Eusebii Voetii Icones et Descriptiones Coleopterorum – Johann Euseb Voets Beschreibungen und Abbildungen hartschaaliger Insecten Coleoptera Linn*. Zweyter Theil. Johann Jakob Palm, Erlangen
- Voet JE (1806) *Catalogus systematicus Coleopterorum – Catalogue systématique des Coléoptères – Systematische naamlyst van dat geslacht van Insecten dat men torren noemt. Tomus primus*. G. Bakhuisen, La Haye
- Wagler JG (1828) *Conspectus Systematis Amphibiorum*. *Isis von Oken* 21: 859–861
- Wagler J (1830) *Natürliches System der Amphibien, mit vorangehender Classification der Säugethiere und Vögel*. Cotta, München, Stuttgart & Tübingen
- Webb JE, Wallwork JA, Elgood JH (1978) *Guide to living reptiles*. Macmillan, London
- Welch KRG (1982) *Herpetology of Africa*. Robert E. Krieger, Malabar (FL)
- Wermuth H, Mertens, R (1961) *Schildkröten Krokodile Brückenechsen*. VEB Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena
- Werneburg I, Sánchez-Villagra MR (2009) Timing of organogenesis support basal position of turtles in the amniote tree of life. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 9, 82: 1–9. Online at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/9/82> (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-82) last accessed 08-02-2010
- Westwood JO (1840) *An introduction to the modern classification of insects; founded on the natural habits and corresponding organisation of the different families*. Longman, Orme, Brown, Green & Longman, London
- Wiegmann AFA, Ruthe JF (1843) *Handbuch der Zoologie*. Zweyte Auflage von FH Troschel und JF Ruthe. C. G. Lüderitz, Berlin
- Wieland F (2010) *Gralla Sonnerat, 1777*. Goettingen, AnimalBase. Online at <http://www.animalbase.uni-goettingen.de/zooweb/servlet/AnimalBase/home/genustaxon?id=4111> last accessed 08-02-2010
- Winckworth R (1945) The types of the Boltenian genera. *Journal of molluscan Studies* 26: 136–148
- Xianrui Y (1994) *Fossil and recent turtles of China*. Science Press, Beijing
- Yeh HK (1963) *Fossil turtles of China*. *Paleontologica sinica* 150 (N.S.C. 18): i–iv + 1–112, pl. 1–21
- Zhao E, Adler K (1993) *Herpetology of China*. Contributions to Herpetology, Nr. 10. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Athens (OH)
- Zimmermann EAW von (1780) *Geographische Geschichte des Menschen, und der vierfüßigen Thiere*. Zweiter Band. Weygandschen Buchhandlung, Leipzig

Received: 10.VII.2010

Accepted: 25.IX.2010

APPENDIX 1

Table 1. Chronological presentation of the family-series and class-series nomina used in various publications for the order of turtles and for the family including the genus *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758. The authors and dates are mentioned below only when they were so in the works cited. Nomina connected by the sign ↔ are allelonyms (Dubois 2006a), i.e., alternative nomina proposed or used by an author in the same publication for the same taxon (same content and taxonomic rank), without choosing between them.

Reference	Nomen used for the order (or for a class-series taxon of another rank) of turtles	Nomen used for the family including the genus <i>Testudo</i> Linnaeus, 1758
Batsch 1788: 437	–	<i>TESTUDINES</i>
Brongniart 1800a: 81	CHÉLONIENS	–
Latreille 1800: xi	CHELONII	–
Ross & Macartney in Cuvier 1802: tab. 3	CHELONIA	–
Duméril 1806: 76	CHELONII	–
Oppel 1811b: 4, 6	TESTUDINATA Klein, 1751	<i>AMYDAE</i>
Rafinesque-Schmaltz 1814c: 66	PEROSTIA	<i>TESTUDIA</i>
Rafinesque 1815: 74	PEROSTIA	<i>TESTUDIA</i>
Merrem 1820: 6, 7, 12, 17	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	–
Latreille 1825: 91	CHELONII	CRYPTOPODI
Gray 1825: 194, 210	CHELONII Latreille, 1800	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Fitzinger 1826: 5	MONOPNOA [including tribe TESTUDINATA Klein, 1751]	<i>TESTUDINOIDEA</i>
Ritgen 1828: 269, 270	CHELONII ↔ STERRICHROTES	CHERSOCHELONES ↔ DYSMYDAE
Wagler 1828: 861	CHELYNAE	TYLOPODAE
Wagler 1830: 130	TESTUDINES	HEDRAEOGLOSSAE
Bonaparte 1831: 63, 68	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Griffith & Pidgeon 1831: 4, 6	CHELONIA	–
Gray 1831a: 2	TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Gray 1831b: 3, 7	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i> Gray, 1825
Duméril & Bibron 1834: 346, 352	CHÉLONIENS Brongniart, 1800	“ <i>CHERSITES</i> ”
Duméril & Bibron 1835: 1	CHÉLONIENS Brongniart, 1800	“ <i>CHERSITES</i> ”
Fitzinger 1835: 107	MONOPNOA	TYLOPODA
Fitzinger 1843: 29	TYLOPODA Wagler, 1828	<i>TESTUDINES</i>
Rüppel 1843: 297	CHELONII	–
Wiegmann & Ruthe 1843: 166, 168	CHELONII	<i>CHERSINAE</i>
Gray 1844: 3	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Bonaparte 1845: 3	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Bonaparte 1850: pl.	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Gray 1855: title page, 1, 4	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811 ↔ CHELONIA Gray, 1835 [sic]	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Agassiz 1857: 235, 249	TESTUDINATA Klein, 1751	<i>TESTUDININA</i> Bonaparte, 1831
Günther 1859: 379	CHELONII	–
Peters 1862: 271	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Strauch 1862: 19, 20	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDA</i>
Günther 1864: x, 1, 3	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Strauch 1865: 205	–	<i>TESTUDINIDA</i>
Troschel 1866: 182	CHELONII	<i>TESTUDINIDA</i>
Fitzinger 1867: 85	CHELONII	<i>CHERSINAE</i>
Gray 1873: iv, 1	TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Cope 1875: 50, 54	TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Peters 1882: 2	CHELONII ↔ TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDININA</i>
Baur 1887: 96, 101	TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Boulenger 1889: 4, 48	CHELONIA Brongniart, 1800	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Strauch 1890: 9, 10	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDA</i>
Baur 1892: 419, 420	TESTUDINATA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Boettger 1893: 2, 3	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Barboza du Bocage 1895: 1	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Stejneger 1907: 483, 488	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Siebenrock 1909: 429	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i> Gray 1825
De Rooij 1915: 285, 288	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Stejneger & Barbour 1917: 113	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>
Boulenger 1923: 42	CHELONIA	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i>

Reference	Nomen used for the order (or for a class-series taxon of another rank) of turtles	Nomen used for the family including the genus <i>Testudo</i> Linnaeus, 1758
Mertens & Müller 1928: 20	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	TESTUDINIDAE
Smith 1933: 49, 136	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Freiberg 1938: 7, 9	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Terente'v & Chernov 1949: 88, 95	CHELONIA [in subclass TESTUDINES]	TESTUDINIDAE
Smith & Taylor 1950: 12, 27	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Schmidt 1953: 86, 104	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Bergounioux 1955: 187, 508	CHELONIA [in subclass TESTUDINATA]	TESTUDINIDAE
Mertens & Wermuth 1955: 333, 370	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
Romer 1956: 495, 504	CHELONIA ↔ TESTUDINATA	TESTUDINIDAE
Loveridge 1957: 163	TESTUDINATA Oppel, 1811	TESTUDINIDAE
Loveridge & Williams 1957: 175, 181	TESTUDINATA	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Hunt 1958: 150	TESTUDINES Linnaeus, 1758	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Wermuth & Mertens 1961: 1, 171	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
Fuhn & Vancea 1961: 157, 158	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Goin & Goin 1962: 73, 254	TESTUDINATA	TESTUDINIDAE
Yeh, 1963: 7, 27	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Romer 1966: 365	CHELONIA Macartney, 1802	TESTUDINIDAE
Kuhn 1967: 114	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Pritchard 1967: 27	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Čkhikvazde 1970: 245	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Auffenberg 1974: 140	TESTUDINATA Shaw, 1802	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Gaffney 1975: 423	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Młynarski 1976: 6	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Webb et al. 1978: vii	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Nutaphand 1979: 13, 55	CHELONIA ↔ TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
Bour 1981: 133	CHELONII	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
De Broin 1982: 897	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE
Welch 1982: 206, 207	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Pritchard & Trebbau 1984: 11, 197	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Bour & Dubois 1985: 78	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Alderton 1988: 108	CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Dundee 1989: 403	TESTUDINES	–
Ernst & Barbour 1989: 3, 227	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
King & Burke 1989: 16, 69	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Jiufa & Ting, 1992: 1, 4	TESTUDINATA	TESTUDINIDAE
Iverson, 1992: 3,	TESTUDINES Linnaeus, 1758	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Zhao & Adler, 1993: 164, 171	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
David 1994: 16, 18	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Xianrui 1994: 4, 62	TESTUDINES Linnaeus, 1758	TESTUDINIDAE Gray, 1825
Richard 1999: 85	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE
Boycott & Bourquin 2000: 32	CHELONIA ↔ TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
De Lapparent de Broin 2001: 166, 187	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Noriega et al. 2000: 321	CHELONII	TESTUDINIDAE
Kuzmin 2002: 17, 84	TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Vetter 2002: 3, 5	TESTUDINES Linnaeus, 1758	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Mickoleit 2004: 282, 294	CHELONIA ↔ TESTUDINES	TESTUDINIDAE
Pough et al. 2004: 97, 109	TESTUDINES ↔ CHELONIA	TESTUDINIDAE
Vetter 2004: 3, 8	TESTUDINES Linnaeus, 1758	TESTUDINIDAE Rafinesque, 1815
Vanni & Nistri 2006: 23	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Fritz & Havaš 2006: 10, 122	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Vetter & van Dijk 2006: 3, 8	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Fritz & Havaš 2007: 163, 265	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Pritchard 2007: 46	CHELONII Latreille, 1800 ↔ CHELONIA Macartney, 1802 ↔ TESTUDINES [neither Linnaeus, 1758, nor Batsch, 1788]	–
Abbazzi et al. 2008: 123	CHELONII Brongniart, 1800	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Rhodin et al. 2008: 2, 12	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788
Rhodin et al. 2009: 42, 52	TESTUDINES Batsch, 1788	TESTUDINIDAE Batsch, 1788

Table 2. The supraspecific taxa of animals listed in Batsch (1788, 1789). The animals are distributed in two class-series taxa, **OSSEA** and **CRUSTACEA**, for which no ranks are given in this book; they are here referred to the rank “superclassis”. All other ranks are mentioned expressly in Batsch (1788, 1789). Nomina connected by the sign ↔ are *allelonyms* (Dubois 2006a), i.e., alternative nomina proposed by an author in the same publication for the same taxon (same content, onomatophore and taxonomic rank), without choosing between them. The generic nomina are given here under their original spelling (*protonym*; Dubois 2000), with mention between parenthesis of the subsequent spelling (*aponym*; Dubois 2000) used by Batsch, whenever relevant. All these generic nomina had been created by Linnaeus (1758) or in subsequent works published before those of Batsch, except three, followed here by the sign †, which were made nomenclaturally available by Batsch (1789), and the status of which is discussed below in Appendix 1. This appendix also discusses the status of three post-Linnean generic nomina, followed by the sign ‡, which we consider here nomenclaturally unavailable. The familial nomina created by Batsch (1788, 1789) are of three kinds: (1) a familial nomen underlined in this Table was clearly based on the nomen (also underlined) of a genus expressly referred by Batsch to the familia as a *valid* nomen, which is therefore its nucleogenus (type-genus) by implicit etymological designation (Dubois 1984), thus making this family-series nomen available under Art. 11.7.1.1; (2) a familial nomen followed by an asterisk * can be considered derived from the nomen of a genus traditionally referred to the same taxonomic group, but not used as valid by Batsch, being probably considered a synonym of another nomen; this generic nomen is listed between square brackets, also followed by *, after the list of the valid genera of the family according to Batsch; such a family-series nomen, being based on a generic nomen considered invalid by Batsch, is unavailable under Art. 11.7.1.1, thus shown “between quotation marks”; (3) a familial nomen followed by the sign ° is an *arhizonym* (Dubois 2006a: 178), i.e., cannot be construed as being based on a then available generic nomen and is therefore unavailable under Art. 11.7.1.1, thus also shown “between quotation marks”.

-
- “Superclassis” **OSSEA** Batsch, 1788: 81.
 Classis **MAMMALIA** Batsch, 1788: 87.
 Ordo **BRUTA** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia “*COLOSSI*”° Batsch, 1788: 107.
 Genera (2): *Elephas* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Rhinoceros* Linnaeus, 1758: 19.
 Familia “*CATAPHRACTA*”* Batsch, 1788: 107.
 Genera (2): *Dasyapus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Manis* Linnaeus, 1758: 18. [*Cataphractus** Brisson, 1762: 12–13].
 Familia *BRADYPODA* Batsch, 1788: 108.
 Genera (2): *Bradyapus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Myrmecophaga* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Ordo **PECORA** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia “*OVINA*”* Batsch, 1788: 105.
 Genera (2): *Camelus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Capra* Linnaeus, 1758: 19. [*Ovis** Linnaeus, 1758: 19].
 Familia *CERVINA* Batsch, 1788: 105.
 Genera (4): *Antilope* Pallas, 1766b: 232; *Bos* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Cervus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Moschus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19.
 Ordo **GLIRES** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia *MURINA* Batsch, 1788: 115.
 Genus (1): *Mus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19.
 Familia *LEPORINA* Batsch, 1788: 115.
 Genera (4): *Cavia* Pallas, 1766b: 30; *Lepus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Marmota* Blumenbach, 1779: 79; *Spalax* Gueldenstaedt, 1770: 409.
 Familia *SCIURINA* Batsch, 1788: 115.
 Genera (3): *Dipus* Zimmermann, 1780: 354; *Glis* Brisson, 1762: 13, 113; *Sciurus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19.
 Familia *CASTOREA* Batsch, 1788: 115.
 Genera (2): *Castor* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Hystrix* Linnaeus, 1758: 19.
 Ordo **PRIMATES** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia “*PRIMATES*”° Batsch, 1788: 108.
 Genera (3): *Homo* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Lemur* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Simia* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Ordo **FERAE** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia *FELINA* Batsch, 1788: 110.
 Genus (1): *Felis* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Familia *CANINA* Batsch, 1788: 110.
 Genera (2): *Canis* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Hyaena* Brisson, 1762: 13, 168.
 Familia *URSINA* Batsch, 1788: 110.
 Genus (1): *Ursus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Familia *MUSTELINA* Batsch, 1788: 110.
 Genera (3): *Lutra* Brisson, 1762: 13, 201; *Mustela* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Viverra* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Ordo **BELLUAE** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia “*BELLUAE*”° Batsch, 1788: 105.
 Genera (4): *Equus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Hippopotamus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Hydrochoerus* Brisson, 1762: 12, 80 (as *Hydrochaerus*); *Sus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Ordo **ROSORES** Batsch, 1788: 103.
 Familia *TALPINA* Batsch, 1788: 113.
 Genera (3): *Erinaceus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Sorex* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Talpa* Linnaeus, 1758: 18.
 Familia “*PTEROPODA*”* Batsch, 1788: 105.
 Genus (1): *Vespertilio* Linnaeus, 1758: 18. [*Pteropus** Brisson, 1762: 13, 153].

- Familia “*MARSUPIALES*”* Batsch, 1788: 105.
Genus (1): *Didelphis* Linnaeus, 1758: 18 (as *Didelphys*). [“*Marsupiale*”‡; Edward in Catesby, 1771].
- Ordo PINNIPEDA Batsch, 1788: 103.
Familia “*PINNIPEDA*”° Batsch, 1788: 116.
Genera (3): *Phoca* Linnaeus, 1758: 18; *Rosmarus* Brünnichius, 1771: 34; *Trichechus* Linnaeus, 1758: 18 (as *Trichechus*).
- Ordo CETACEA Batsch, 1788: 103.
Familia “*CETACEA*”* Batsch, 1788: 116.
Genera (4): *Balaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Delphinus* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Monodon* Linnaeus, 1758: 19; *Physeter* Linnaeus, 1758: 19. [*Cetus** Brisson, 1762: 225].
- Classis AVES Batsch, 1788: 88.
Familia “*ANSERES*”* Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (11): *Alca* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Anas* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Colymbus* Linnaeus, 1758: 135; *Diomedea* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Larus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Mergus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Pelecanus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Phaeton* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Procellaria* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Rynchops* Linnaeus, 1758: 138 (as *Rhynchops*); *Sterna* Linnaeus, 1758: 84. [*Anser** Brisson, 1760: 262].
- Familia “*GRALLAE*”* Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (11): *Ardea* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Charadrius* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Fulica* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Haematopus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Phoenicopterus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Platalea* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Rallus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Recurvirostra* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Scolopax* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Tantalus* Linnaeus, 1758: 84; *Tringa* Linnaeus, 1758: 84. [“*Gralla*”‡; Eberling in Sonnerat, 1777].
- Familia *STRUTHIONES* Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (3): *Didus* Linnaeus, 1766: 119; *Otis* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Struthio* Linnaeus, 1758: 85.
- Familia “*TENUIROSTRES*”° Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (4): *Certhia* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Merops* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Trochilus* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Upupa* Linnaeus, 1758: 83.
- Familia “*CUNEIROSTRES*”° Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (2): *Alcedo* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Picus* Linnaeus, 1758: 83.
- Familia “*GALLINAE*”* Batsch, 1788: 276.
Genera (7): *Columba* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Crax* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Meleagris* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Numida* Linnaeus, 1764: 27; *Pavo* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Phasianus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Tetrao* Linnaeus, 1758: 85. [*Gallus** Brisson, 1760: 45].
- Familia “*ACCIPITRES*”* Batsch, 1788: 277.
Genera (3): *Falco* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Strix* Linnaeus, 1758: 83 (as *Stryx*); *Vultur* Linnaeus, 1758: 83. [*Accipiter** Brisson, 1760: 310].
- Familia “*LEVIROSTRES*”° Batsch, 1788: 27.
Genera (4): *Buceros* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Crotophaga* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Psittacus* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Ramphastos* Linnaeus, 1758: 83.
- Familia *CORACES* Batsch, 1788: 277 ↔ “*PASSERES*”° Batsch, 1788: 277.
Genera (20): *Alauda* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Ampelis* Linnaeus, 1766: 119; *Caprimulgus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Coracias* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Corvus* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Cuculus* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Emberiza* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Fringilla* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Gracula* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Hirundo* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Jynx* Linnaeus, 1758: 83 (as *Iynx*); *Lanius* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Loxia* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Motacilla* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Oriolus* Linnaeus, 1766: 117; *Paradisaea* Linnaeus, 1758: 110; *Parus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Sitta* Linnaeus, 1758: 83; *Sturnus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85; *Turdus* Linnaeus, 1758: 85.
- Classis AMPHIBIA Batsch, 1788: 88.
Familia *TESTUDINES* Batsch, 1788: 437.
Genus (1): *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758: 196.
- Familia “*BATRACHI*”° Batsch, 1788: 437.
Genera (4): *Bufo* Laurenti, 1768: 25; *Hyla* Laurenti, 1768: 32; *Pipa* Laurenti, 1768: 24; *Rana* Linnaeus, 1758: 196.
- Familia “*LACERTAE*”* Batsch, 1788: 437.
Genera (13): *Basiliscus* Laurenti, 1768: 50; *Caudiverbera* Laurenti, 1768: 43; *Chamaeleo* Laurenti, 1768: 45 (as *Chamaeleon*); *Cordylus* Laurenti, 1768: 51; *Crocodylus* Laurenti, 1768: 53; *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Gekko* Laurenti, 1768: 43; *Iguana* Laurenti, 1768: 47; *Salamandra* Laurenti, 1768: 41; *Scincus* Laurenti, 1768: 55; *Seps* Laurenti, 1768: 58; *Stellio* Laurenti, 1768: 56; *Triton* Laurenti, 1768: 37. [*Lacerta** Linnaeus, 1758: 196].
- Familia “*SERPENTES*”* Batsch, 1788: 437.
Genera (16): *Amphisbaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Anguis* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Aspis* Laurenti, 1768: 105; *Boa* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Caecilia* Linnaeus, 1758: 229; *Caudisona* Laurenti, 1768: 92; *Cerastes* Laurenti, 1768: 81; *Cobra* Laurenti, 1768: 103; *Coluber* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Constrictor* Laurenti, 1768: 106; *Coronella* Laurenti, 1768: 84; *Dipsas* Laurenti, 1768: 89; *Laticauda* Laurenti, 1768: 109; *Naja* Laurenti, 1768: 90; *Natrix* Laurenti, 1768: 73; *Vipera* Laurenti, 1768: 99. [*Serpens** Garsault, 1764: pl. 667].
- Classis PISCES Batsch, 1788: 88.
Familia “*MULTIFORI*”° Batsch, 1788: 483.
Genera (3): *Petromyzon* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Raja* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Squalus* Linnaeus, 1758: 196.
- Familia “*MONSTROSI*”° Batsch, 1788: 483.
Genera (2): *Chimaera* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Lophius* Linnaeus, 1758: 196.
- Familia “*GLOBATI*”° Batsch, 1788: 484.
Genera (3): *Diodon* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Ostracion* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Tetrodon* Linnaeus, 1758: 243.
- Familia “*ARTICULATI*”° Batsch, 1788: 484.

- Genera (3): *Fistularia* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Pegasus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Syngnathus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243.
 Familia “*LORICATI*” Batsch, 1788: 484.
 Genera (4): *Acipenser* Linnaeus, 1758: 196; *Centriscus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Cyclopterus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Loricaria* Linnaeus, 1758: 243.
 Familia “*SPECULARES*” Batsch, 1788: 484.
 Genera (7): *Callionymus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242 (as *Callyonimus*); *Cottus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Gobius* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Scorpaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Trachinus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Uranoscopus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Zeus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242.
 Familia “*SOLEATI*” Batsch, 1788: 484.
 Genera (3): *Balistes* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Chaetodon* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Pleuronectes* Linnaeus, 1758: 242. [“*Solea*” †; Edwards in Catesby, 1771].
 Familia “*FERI*” Batsch, 1788: 485.
 Genera (11): *Coryphaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Esox* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Gasterosteus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Labrus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Mullus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Perca* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Salmo* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Sciaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Scomber* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Sparus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Trigla* Linnaeus, 1758: 243.
 Familia “*BRACTEATI*” Batsch, 1788: 485.
 Genera (5): *Clupea* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Cyprinus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Exocoetus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Mugil* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Polynemus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243.
 Familia “*NUDI*” Batsch, 1788: 485.
 Genera (7): *Anarhichas* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Blennius* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Cobitis* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Echeneis* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Gadus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Silurus* Linnaeus, 1758: 243; *Xiphias* Linnaeus, 1758: 242.
 Familia “*SERPENTINI*” Batsch, 1788: 485.
 Genera (4): *Ammodytes* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Gymnotus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Muraena* Linnaeus, 1758: 242; *Trichiurus* Linnaeus, 1758: 242.
 “Superclassis” CRUSTACEA Batsch, 1788: 84.
 Classis INSECTA Batsch, 1788: 89.
 Familia “*COLEOPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 539.
 Genera (21): *Attelabus* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Buprestis* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Byrrhus* Linnaeus, 1766: 537; *Cantharis* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Carabus* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Cassida* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Cerambyx* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Chrysomela* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Cicindela* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Coccinella* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Curculio* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Dermestes* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Elater* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Hydrocantharus*+ Batsch, 1789: 550; *Lampyrus* Geoffroy, 1762: 165; *Mordella* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Necydalis* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Nicrophorus* Fabricius, 1775: 71; *Scarabaeus* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Silpha* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Tenebrio* Linnaeus, 1758: 342.
 Familia “*HEMIPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 539.
 Genera (5): *Blatta* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Forficula* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Gryllus* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Meloe* Linnaeus, 1758: 342; *Staphylinus* Linnaeus, 1758: 342.
 Familia “*NEUROPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 539.
 Genera (7): *Ephemera* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Hemerobius* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Libellula* Linnaeus, 1758: 543; *Myrmeleon* Linnaeus, 1767: 539 (as *Myrmeleo*); *Panorpa* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Phryganea* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Raphidia* Linnaeus, 1758: 343.
 Familia “*HYMENOPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (9): *Apis* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Chrysis* Linnaeus, 1761: xlii; *Cynips* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Formica* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Ichneumon* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Sirex* Linnaeus, 1761: xli; *Sphex* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Tenthredo* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Vespa* Linnaeus, 1758: 343.
 Familia “*DIPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (10): *Asilus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344 (as *Asylus*); *Bombylius* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Conops* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Culex* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Empis* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Hippobosca* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Musca* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Oestrus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Tabanus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Tipula* Linnaeus, 1758: 344.
 Familia *CIMICARIA* Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (3): *Cimex* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Nepa* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Notonecta* Linnaeus, 1758: 343.
 Familia *CICADINA* Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (6): *Aphis* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Chermes* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Cicada* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Coccus* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Fulgora* Linnaeus, 1766: 538; *Thrips* Linnaeus, 1758: 343.
 Familia “*LEPIDOPTERA*” Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (10): *Alucita* Linnaeus, 1758: 496; *Bombyx* Linnaeus, 1758: 495; *Geometra* Linnaeus, 1758: 496; *Papilio* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Phalaena* Linnaeus, 1758: 343; *Pyralis* Linnaeus, 1758: 496; *Sphinx* Linnaeus, 1758: 343 (as *Sphynx*); *Tinea* Linnaeus, 1758: 496; *Tortrix* Linnaeus, 1758: 496; *Zygaena* Fabricius, 1775: 550.
 Familia “*HEXAPODA*” Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (4): *Lepisma* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Pediculus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Podura* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Pulex* Linnaeus, 1758: 344.
 Familia “*POLYPODA*” Batsch, 1789: 540.
 Genera (10): *Acarus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Aranea* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Cancer* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Gammarus* Fabricius, 1775: 418; *Julus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344 (as *Iulus*); *Monoculus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Oniscus* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Phalangium* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Scolopendra* Linnaeus, 1758: 344; *Scorpio* Linnaeus, 1758: 344.
 Classis VERMES Batsch, 1788: 89.
 Familia “*INTESTINA*” Batsch, 1789: 664.

- Genera (8): *Ascaris* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Cucullanus* Müller, 1777: pl. 38 fig. 1–7; *Echinorhynchus* Müller, 1776: 214 (as *Echinorhynchus*); *Gordius* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Hirudo* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Hydatigena* Goeze, 1782: 192; *Taenia* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Trichuris* Roederer, 1761: 243.
- Familia “*SETIPEDA*”° Batsch, 1789: 664.
- Genera (4): *Aphrodita* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Lumbricus* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Nais* Müller, 1771: 6; *Nereis* Linnaeus, 1758: 644.
- Familia “*UBERES*”° Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (6): *Argonauta* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Clio* Linnaeus, 1767: 1072; *Lernaea* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Nautilus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Scyllaea* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Sepia* Linnaeus, 1758: 644.
- Familia *LIMACINA* Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (25): *Aplysia* Linnaeus, 1767: 1072 (as *Laplysia*); *Buccinum* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Bulla* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Cassis* Scopoli, 1777: 393; *Chiton* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Conus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Cylindrus*+ Batsch, 1789: 692; *Cymbium* Mendes da Costa, 1776: 182; *Cypraea* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Doris* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Fasciola* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Haliotis* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Helix* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Limax* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Murex* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Nerita* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Orthoceras* Bruguière, 1789: xvi; *Patella* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Purpura* Bruguière, 1789: xv; *Serpula* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Strombus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Tethys* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Turbo* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Turris*+ Batsch, 1789: 691; *Voluta* Linnaeus, 1758: 645.
- Familia “*SYPHONATA*”° Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (17): *Anomia* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Arca* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Ascidia* Linnaeus, 1767: 1072; *Cardium* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Chama* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Mactra* Linnaeus, 1767: 1073; *Mya* Linnaeus, 1758: 670; *Mytilus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Ostrea* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Pecten* Müller, 1776: 248; *Perna* Philipsson, 1788: 20; *Pholas* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Pinna* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Solen* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Spondylus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Tellina* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Venus* Linnaeus, 1758: 645.
- Familia “*CRISTATA*”° Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (6): *Actinia* Pallas, 1766b: 152; *Balanus* Mendes da Costa, 1778: 249; *Holothuria* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Lepas* Linnaeus, 1758: 645; *Medusa* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Triton* Linnaeus, 1758: 644.
- Familia “*CRUSTOSA*”° Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (2): *Asterias* Linnaeus, 1758: 644; *Echinus* Linnaeus, 1758: 644.
- Familia “*FRONDOSA*”° Batsch, 1789: 665.
- Genera (2): *Astrophyton* Schultze, 1760: 53; *Pennatula* Linnaeus, 1758: 646.
- Familia “*POLYPINA*”° Batsch, 1789: 666.
- Genera (10): *Alcyonium* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Eschara* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Gorgonia* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Hydra* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Isis* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Madrepora* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Millepora* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Sertularia* Linnaeus, 1758: 646; *Spongia* Linnaeus, 1759: 1317; *Tubularia* Linnaeus, 1758: 646.
- Familia “*FIMBRIATA*”° Batsch, 1789: 666.
- Genera (3): *Brachyonus* Pallas, 1766a: 89; *Trichoda* Müller, 1773: 71; *Vorticella* Linnaeus, 1767: 1074.
- Familia “*CHAOTICA*”* Batsch, 1789: 666.
- Genera (10): *Burfaria* Müller, 1773: 62; *Cercaria* Müller, 1773: 64; *Cyclidium* Müller, 1773: 49; *Enchelis* Müller, 1773: 33; *Gonium* Müller, 1773: 60; *Kolpoda* Müller, 1773: 56; *Monas* Müller, 1773: 25; *Paramaecium* Müller, 1773: 54; *Vibrio* Müller, 1773: 39; *Volvox* Linnaeus, 1758: 646. [*Chaos** Linnaeus, 1767: 1074].

Table 3. The family-series nomina made available in Batsch (1788, 1789), with the authors and dates traditionally credited to them in zootaxonomy. The traditional nomina of families and higher taxa given in the third and last columns are those recognized in the site of the Taxonomicon (<http://taxonomicon.taxonomy.nl/>). For the only one for which authorship and date are not given in this site, marked by an asterisk*, we give those recognized in the site Wikipedia (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/>). The publication credited in the Taxonomicon site to “Fischer de Waldheim” is actually signed by the single name “Fischer” and should be cited under this name (Dubois 2008a).

Family nomen appearing in Batsch (1788, 1789)	Nucleogenus	Nomen traditionally used for this family	Protonym of nomen traditionally used for this family	Valid nomen of this family	Traditional higher taxa: phylum, classis, ordo
<i>BRADYPODA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Bradyopus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>BRADYPODIDAE</i> Gray, 1821	<i>BRADYPODIDAE</i> Gray, 1821: 304	<i>BRADYPODIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, PILOSA
<i>CANINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Canis</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CANIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>CANINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>CANIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, CARNIVORA
<i>CASTOREA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Castor</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CASTORIDAE</i> Henrich, 1820	<i>CASTORINA</i> Henrich, 1820: 33	<i>CASTORIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, RODENTIA
<i>CERVINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Cervus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CERVIDAE</i> Goldfuss, 1820	<i>CERVINA</i> Goldfuss, 1820: 374	<i>CERVIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, ARTIODACTYLA
<i>CICADINA</i> Batsch, 1789	<i>Cicada</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CICADIDAE</i> Westwood, 1840	<i>CICADAE</i> Westwood, 1840: 422	<i>CICADIDAE</i> Batsch, 1789	ARTHROPODA, INSECTA, HEMIPTERA
<i>CIMICARIA</i> Batsch, 1789	<i>Cimex</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CIMICIDAE</i> Latreille, 1802	<i>CIMICIDES</i> Latreille, 1802: 240	<i>CIMICIDAE</i> Batsch, 1789	ARTHROPODA, INSECTA, HEMIPTERA
<i>CORACES</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Coracias</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>CORACIDAE</i> Vigors, 1825	<i>CORACIANA</i> Vigors, 1825: 399	<i>CORACIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, SAUROPSIDA, CORACIFORMES
<i>FELINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Felis</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>FELIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>FELINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>FELIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, CARNIVORA
<i>LEPORINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Lepus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>LEPORIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>LEPORINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>LEPORIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, LAGOMORPHA
<i>LIMACINA</i> Batsch, 1789	<i>Limax</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>LIMACIDAE</i> Lamarck, 1801	<i>LIMACIERS</i> Lamarck, 1801: 62	<i>LIMACIDAE</i> Batsch, 1789	MOLLUSCA, GASTROPODA, PULMONATA
<i>MURINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Mus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>MURIDAE</i> Illiger, 1815	<i>MURINA</i> Illiger, 1815: 46	<i>MURIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, RODENTIA
<i>MUSTELINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Mustela</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>MUSTELIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>MUSTELINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>MUSTELIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, CARNIVORA
<i>SCIURINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Sciurus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>SCIURIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>SCIURI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>SCIURIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, RODENTIA
<i>STRUTHIONES</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Struthio</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>STRUTHIONIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>STRUTHIONIDAE</i> Vigors, 1825: 402	<i>STRUTHIONIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, SAUROPSIDA, STRUTHIONIFORMES
<i>TALPINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Talpa</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>TALPIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>TALPINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>TALPIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, ERINACEOMORPHA
<i>TESTUDINES</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Testudo</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788*	<i>TESTUDINES</i> Batsch, 1788: 437	<i>TESTUDINIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, SAUROPSIDA, TESTUDINES
<i>URSINA</i> Batsch, 1788	<i>Ursus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	<i>URSIDAE</i> “Fischer de Waldheim”, 1817	<i>URSINI</i> Fischer, 1817: 372	<i>URSIDAE</i> Batsch, 1788	CHORDATA, MAMMALIA, CARNIVORA

APPENDIX 2

THE NOMENCLATURAL STATUS OF A FEW PROBLEMATIC ZOOLOGICAL NOMINA

THE ZOOLOGICAL NOMINA CREATED IN THE BOOK OF BEHN (1760)

Klein (1751) published a comprehensive classification of his “QUADRUPEDIA”, i.e., roughly, the tetrapods without the cecilians, snakes, birds and whales. This book in Latin being pre-1758, the nomina it contains are nomenclaturally unavailable. Joyce et al. (2004) pointed out the existence of Behn’s (1760) German translation and adaptation of Klein’s (1751) book, where all the taxa and nomina of the latter work are reproduced. These post-1758 nomina would be available, with the authorship “Klein *in* Behn, 1760”, if this book had to be considered nomenclaturally available, but it should not. The nomenclatural hierarchy used in this book is unclear and inconsistent. It includes the ranks ordo (Ordnung) and familia (Familie), the taxa at these ranks being designated by uninomina (nomina consisting of a single term). The ranks used below the rank Familie are denominated in German Geschlecht, then Art, then Gattung. Considering their hierarchy and content, they could be construed to correspond respectively to the ranks tribe, genus and species, but this would probably be misleading. Each of these ranks can contain a various numbers of unnamed subranks, and the number of terms used to designate taxa is variable, from one to two and more, some of these nomina being plurinominal diagnoses borrowed without change from various pre-1758 works. This work clearly does not comply with the requirement of Article 11.4 of the *Code* for the availability of species-, genus- and family-series nomina. However, this might not preclude considering the class-series nomina in this work, or some of them, as available, since Article 11.4 implicitly states that “*this Article does not apply to the availability of names of taxa above the family group*”.

If it was possible to establish objectively where lays the separation between the family-series and the class-series nomenclature in Behn (1760), and if all these nomina were uninomina, it could be possible to recognize as available the class-series nomina proposed in this work, but this is difficult if not impossible.

The nomina of the three orders of “QUADRUPEDIA” recognized in Behn (1760) are plurinomina, as follows: (O1) “*Pilosa et Ungulata (vivipara) sive* “Ζωοτοκᾶ”; (O2) “*Pilosa et Digitata sive sint tota coriacea, sive cataphracta; omnia vivipara*”; (O3) “*Depilata, sive tecta, sive nuda, nequicquam pilosa, omnia ovipara, sive* “Ωοτοκᾶ”. Such designations are in fact diagnoses, and cannot qualify as

nomina of zoological taxa. They are unavailable in zoological nomenclature. It can be noted that, in the original text of Klein (1751), the same taxa were designated by uninomina (“UNGULATA”, “DIGITATA” and “DEPILATA”), but as this text is pre-Linnaean, these nomina also are unavailable.

In contrast, the nomina of the 13 “families” recognized by Behn (1760) are all uninomina. They are distributed as follows in the three orders: (O1) “MONOCHELON”, “DICHELON”, “TRICHELON”, “TETRACHELON” and “PENTACHELON”; (O2) “DIDACTYLON”, “TRIDACTYLON”, “TETRADACTYLON”, “PENTADACTYLON” and “ANOMALAPES” (instead of “ANOMALOPES” in Klein, 1751); (O3) “TESTUDINATA”, “CATAPHRACTA” and “NUDA”. Except possibly for one, these nomina are not based on the stems of included nominal genera. “TESTUDINATA” could be construed to be based on the stem of the only included genus of the family, *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758, but this is highly improbable. The other twelve familial nomina are clearly based on characters that are considered diagnostic for the taxa they designate, and the nomen “TESTUDINATA” can also be understood as based on the Latin adjective *testudinatus*, meaning “of turtle, vaulted, arched”. Therefore, all nomina of “families” in Behn (1760) appear to be arhizonyms. Under the Rules of Dubois (2006a), such nomina cannot be accepted as family-series nomina and qualify as class-series nomina. This case is not unique. Other examples were discussed by Dubois (2006a, 2009) and Dubois & Ohler (2009): for example, the nomina of “families” in Ritgen (1828), which are also arhizonyms, must be treated as available class-series nomina.

However, in the case of the new familial nomina appearing in Behn (1760), difficulties would arise if they were to be treated as available class-series nomina. In the system of Dubois (2006a), the allocation of class-series nomina to taxa is made through their included (conucleogenera) and excluded (alienogenera) nominal genera, and to be usable in this respect, conucleogenera and alienogenera must be nomenclaturally available. If all the nomina of taxa just below the rank family in Behn (1760), designating taxa of rank “Geschlecht”, were considered to be genus-series nomina, part of them could not be used for taxonomic allocation of their nomina, because they are unavailable in Behn’s (1760) work. In his order (O3), corresponding to the traditional amphibians and reptiles, only three generic nomina then available are mentioned as valid nomina: *Testudo* Linnaeus, 1758 for a “Geschlecht” of his family “TESTUDINATA”; *Lacerta* Linnaeus, 1758 for a “Geschlecht” of his family “NUDA”; *Rana* Linnaeus, 1758 for an “Art” of his “Geschlecht” “*Batrachus*” (then an unavailable nomen) of his family “NUDA”; and none in his family “CATAPHRACTA”. In order to allocate the nomen “NUDA” to a class-series taxon, one would have

to take an arbitrary decision, considering that either the rank “Geschlecht” or the rank “Art” corresponds to the rank genus in the current *Code*. If the rank “Geschlecht” was considered to correspond to the rank genus, and “Art” to the rank species, the nomen “NUDA” would apply, in a modern classification, to the most inclusive taxon including the genus *Lacerta* and excluding the genus *Testudo*. But if the rank “Geschlecht” was considered to correspond to the rank tribe, and “Art” to the rank genus, the nomen “NUDA” would apply, in a modern classification, to the most inclusive taxon including the genus *Rana* and excluding all the mammalian genera, bearing then available Linnaean generic nomina, mentioned by Behn (1760) in his orders (O1) and (O2). Therefore, according to the arbitrary decision taken, the same nomen could apply to widely distinct higher taxa.

Because of these uncertainties, many other examples of which could be given, we here argue that Behn’s (1760) should not be considered as an available work in zoological nomenclature, even for class-series nomina. We suggest that this book should be invalidated as a whole by the ICZN, and that all the new nomina it contains should be considered unavailable in zoological nomenclature.

“*Marsupiale*” Edwards in Catesby, 1771

According to Sherborn (1902: 593), there exists a genus *Marsupiale*, based on the following reference: “G. Edwards in M. Catesby, Carol. I. 1771, xxix”. Actually this refers to Catesby (1771a: xxix), in “An account...” added by George Edwards, where the binomen *Marsupiale americanum* appears, with a diagnosis. However, this item follows another one entitled *Vulpi affinis americana* and many others where the nomenclature is not consistently binominal. Consequently the ICZN (Anonymous 1954) has suppressed the whole work (Catesby 1771a-b) for nomenclatural purposes, except for the nomina employed by Edwards in accordance with the Linnaean system in his “Catalogue of the Animals and Plants” (i.e., Catesby 1771a: 1–2, 1771b: 1–2), usually referred as George Edwards’ “Appendix”.

“*Solea*” Edwards in Catesby, 1771

According to Sherborn (1902: 593), there exists a genus *Solea*, based on the following reference: “G. Edwards in M. Catesby, Carol. II. 1771, 27”. Actually this refers to Catesby (1771b: 27), where appears the combination *Solea lunata et punctata*, with a diagnosis and a plate; however, this is not a binomen, and therefore it has no status in nomenclature. The ICZN (Anonymous 1954) has suppressed the whole work (Catesby 1771a-b) for nomenclat-

ural purposes, except for the nomina employed by Edwards in accordance with the Linnaean system in his “Catalogue of the Animals and Plants” (i.e., Catesby 1771a: 1–2, 1771b: 1–2), usually referred as George Edwards’ “Appendix”. Edwards (in Catesby 1771b: 1) linked this description with the binomen *Pleuronectes lunatus* Linnaeus, 1758.

“*Gralla*” Eberling in Sonnerat, 1777

According to Sherborn (1902: 431), there exists a genus *Gralla*, based on the following reference: “J. P. Ebeling in Sonnerat, Reise Neuguinea, 1777, 31”. Actually this refers to Sonnerat (1777: 31 [and 45]), where appears the combinations *gralla parra* and *gralla fulica*. Wieland (2010) admitted the nomenclatural availability of both, which he treated as binomina, and also of the genus *Gralla* Sonnerat, 1777, but with this comment: “The basic data of this taxon were not entered consulting the original description, but from secondary sources”. On the other hand, *The Richmond Index*, published by the Division of Birds at the National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C (Anonymous 2010), states that *Gralla* Ebeling in Sonnerat is not nomenclaturally a valid generic name: “*Gralla fulica* p. 45; *Gralla parra* p. 31, Ebeling, in Sonnerat, Reise Neu Guinea, 1777. These have no standing! being simply Ebeling’s way of writing Order *Grallae*, Genus *Fulica* + *Parra*!!”. Actually Ebeling (in Sonnerat 1777) put a capital at the start of the generic name of his binomina, but neither at *gralla parra* nor at *gralla fulica*. We follow here *The Richmond Index* statement and do not recognize the nominal genus “*Gralla* Ebeling in Sonnerat, 1777”.

Cylindrus Batsch, 1789: 692

Three homonymous nominal genera *Cylindrus* are available in zoological nomenclature: *Cylindrus* Batsch, 1789: 692; *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824: 236; and *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833: 107.

Cylindrus Batsch, 1789 has apparently been ignored by all authors until now. It was introduced with a diagnosis that makes it nomenclaturally available and that clearly points to marine cone shells.

Cylindrus Deshayes, 1824 is an *autoneonym** (unjustified emendation) of *Cylinder* Denys de Montfort, 1810: 390, a nomen established for a genus of marine cone shells. Its *nucleospecies** (type-species) is *Conus textile* Linnaeus, 1758: 717, by original designation. The original nomen of this genus was preceded in zoological nomenclature by *Cylinder* Voet, 1793 and *Cylinder* Voet, 1806, but both

these nomina are unavailable, as published in books that are not consistently binominal. Strangely enough however, the nomen *Cylinder* Denys de Montfort, 1810 is currently not considered valid, but its autoneonym *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 is so, being currently treated as a subgenus of the genus *Conus* Linnaeus, 1758 (e.g., Keen 1971; Pitt et al. 1986).

Cylindrus Fitzinger, 1833 was established with a single valid species included, *Pupa obtusa* Draparnaud, 1805: 63, which is therefore its nucleospecies by original specific monophory* (monotypy). This generic nomen is currently (e.g., Frank 2006) considered valid for a genus of terrestrial snails.

The current nomenclatural situation concerning the use of the term *Cylindrus* in zoological nomenclature is not compliant with the Rules of the *Code*, for two distinct reasons: (R1) the autoneonym *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 of *Cylinder* Denys de Montfort, 1810 is considered valid instead of its *archaeonym**, although the latter should be so, not being preoccupied by an available homonymous generic nomen; (R2) two homonymous genus-series nomina, *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 and *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833, are currently both considered valid in zoology, although the second one, being a junior homonym of the former, should be considered invalid (even if the former one was not so). The two nomina are listed as valid in several current online databases, but apparently never in the same one: *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 appears as the valid nomen of a subgenus of *Conus* Linnaeus, 1758 in the databases *Catalogue of recent and fossil Conus* (Alan J. Kohn) [http://biology.burke.washington.edu/conus/recordview/specieslist_P.html], *The sea shells (Nauka Bulgarie)* [http://theseashells.nauka.bg/Conus_Cylindrus_textile_textile.html] and Hardy's *Internet Guide to marine Gastropods* (Eddie Hardy) [http://jeh-temp.co.uk/Taxon_pages/Family_CONIDAE_CONINAE.shtml], whereas *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833 appears as the valid nomen of a genus of terrestrial snails in the databases *Molluscs of central Europe (Dr. Vollrath Wiese, Cismar, D-23743 Grömitz-Cismar)* [<http://www.mollbase.de/list/liste.php>], *Animalbase Goettingen* [<http://www.animalbase.uni-goettingen.de/zooweb/servlet/AnimalBase/search>] and *Biolib.cz* [<http://www.biolib.cz/en/taxon/id18384>].

The rediscovery of the nomen *Cylindrus* Batsch, 1789, created for a genus of marine cone shells, allows to clarify this nomenclatural situation. We hereby designate *Conus textile* Linnaeus, 1758 as its nucleospecies (type-species). The nomen *Cylindrus* Batsch, 1789 therefore replaces both *Cylinder* Denys de Montfort, 1810 and *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 as the valid nomen of the subgenus of *Conus* Linnaeus, 1758 including the latter species. As for *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833, it is an invalid junior ho-

monym of both *Cylindrus* Batsch, 1789 and *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 and it must be abandoned.

The homonymy between *Cylindrus* Deshayes, 1824 and *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833 was pointed out by Kennard (1942), in a work that seems to have been overlooked by most subsequent authors. This author rightly concluded that the nomen *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833 is invalid, and pointed to the existence of its senior objective synonym *Cochlopupa* Jan, 1830: 5. The nucleospecies of this nominal genus is *Pupa obtusa* Draparnaud 1805 by original specific monophory. The single species currently referred to the genus *Cylindrus* Fitzinger, 1833 and known as *Cylindrus obtusus*, must therefore bear the nomen *Cochlopupa obtusa* (Draparnaud, 1805).

***Hydrocantharus* Batsch, 1789: 550**

The nomen *Hydrocantharus* Batsch, 1789, created for an aquatic beetle (dytiscid) is identical to several pre-1758 uses of the same nomen, which are nomenclaturally unavailable. For the same genus, Linnaeus (1758: 342) used the nomen *Dytiscus*. In this genus, he listed (p. 411–413) 15 nominal species, among which Latreille (1810: 426) designated *Dytiscus marginalis* Linnaeus, 1758: 411 as nucleospecies. We hereby designate the same nominal species as nucleospecies (type-species) of *Hydrocantharus* Batsch, 1789, which therefore becomes an invalid junior objective synonym of *Dytiscus* Linnaeus, 1758.

***Turris* Batsch, 1789: 691**

A generic nomen *Turris* was created for a gastropod genus by Statius Müller (1766: 129), but this nomen is unavailable as having been published in a book invalidated by the ICZN (Anonymous 1964) as not applying the principle of binominal nomenclature. A homonymous nomen *Turris* was later created by Röding (1798: 123) also for a gastropod genus, and this nomen is currently considered valid. However, the present rediscovery of *Turris* Batsch, 1789 makes *Turris* Röding, 1798 its invalid junior synonym.

As reckoned by Winckworth (1945), the nucleospecies of *Turris* Röding, 1798 is *Murex babylonius* Linnaeus, 1758: 753, by subsequent designation of Bucquoy et al. (1883: 86). In order not to upset nomenclatural stability, we hereby designate *Murex babylonius* Linnaeus, 1758 as nucleospecies (type-species) of *Turris* Batsch, 1789. The latter must now replace its junior objective synonym *Turris* Röding, 1798 as the valid nomen of the genus.